

## Doubts about Preimplantation Genetic Screening for Aneuploidies: Will Liquid Biopsy Solve the Problem?

Jan Tesarik<sup>1\*</sup>, Raquel Mendoza-Tesarik<sup>1,2</sup> and Carmen Mendoza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MARGen Clinic, Camino de Ronda 2, Granada, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

### \*Correspondence:

Jan Tesarik MD, PhD, MARGen Clinic, Camino de Ronda 2, 18006 Granada, Spain, E-mail: jtesarik@clinicamargen.com.

Received: 17 March 2018; Accepted: 01 April 2018

**Citation:** Jan Tesarik, Raquel Mendoza-Tesarik, Carmen Mendoza. Doubts about Preimplantation Genetic Screening for Aneuploidies: Will Liquid Biopsy Solve the Problem?. *Gynecol Reprod Health*. 2018; 2(2): 1-5.

### ABSTRACT

*Preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) for aneuploidies is currently performed by analyzing samples of 5-6 cells obtained by trophoctoderm biopsy at the blastocyst stage. With the use of available molecular biology techniques, the chromosomal constitution of the cells sampled by the biopsy can be determined with a very high reliability. However, doubts are currently increasing as to the possibility of considering the results obtained with these small samples of trophoctoderm cells as representative of the whole trophoctoderm and, in a broader sense, of the inner cell mass which is the ultimate diagnosis target. Both empirical data and mathematical models converge to suggest that PGS, as performed nowadays, is prone to interpretation errors due to which normal embryos can actually be discarded and abnormal ones transferred. Here we suggest that using soluble DNA obtained by liquid biopsy (spent medium after blastocyst culture) merits further investigation as a viable solution to this problem.*

### Keywords

Preimplantation genetic screening, DNA, PGS, Aneuploidy, Trophoctoderm biopsy, Liquid biopsy, Soluble.

There is growing concern about the current state of preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) for the detection of aneuploidies [1-3]. The opinions about the current place of PGS in this indication vary from the postulation of less rigid scoring systems for the evaluation of the risk associated with the transfer of embryos diagnosed with different types of aneuploidy [1] to a radical recommendation to discontinue the use of this technique until sufficient knowledge on genetic mosaicism in preimplantation embryos and on the phenotypical relevance of mosaic aneuploidies is available [3]. Rather than the molecular biology techniques employed, the current criticism of the use of PGS concerns the ability of the cells sampled for analysis (5-6 trophoctoderm cells removed from a day-5 blastocyst) to represent a reliable source of information about ploidy of the whole embryo, and especially about that of its inner cell mass, the precursor of the future fetus [4]. Consequently, unless this basic problem is resolved, any further refinement of the methods used for genetic screening cannot change this situation.

There are three main points that put into question the use of

trophoctoderm biopsy as a source of information about the future fetus: a high probability of non-random distribution of aneuploid cells in the trophoctoderm, a high probability of differences in the incidence of aneuploid cells in the trophoctoderm and the inner cell mass, and the possibility that the embryos can self-correct eventual inner cell mass aneuploidies downstream from blastocyst stage [4]. Interestingly, the first two concerns may be resolved by sampling DNA for ploidy analysis from the spent blastocyst culture medium [5]. In fact, both the trophoctoderm and the inner cell mass are likely to release soluble DNA to culture medium, although the exact mechanism of this phenomenon remains to be determined. Even in case that the relative contribution of each of the two cell lineages is not equal, on the per-cell basis, the eventual differences are likely to be similar among different embryos. If this is confirmed, the probable relative contribution of the trophoctoderm and the inner cell mass to aneuploidies detected in the soluble DNA isolated from the culture medium can be assessed by using appropriate mathematical formulas.

Though originally designed merely as a more “embryo-friendly” method for chromosome screening as compared to trophoctoderm biopsy, the sequencing of soluble DNA from embryo culture medium has also been shown to have a high sensitivity (0.882)

---

and specificity (0.840) for identification of embryo aneuploidies [5]. In fact, this method represents a new application of liquid biopsy technology which is currently replacing conventional “solid” biopsy methods in different medical specialties, ranging from cancer management [6] to the detection of fetal single-gene disorders by analyzing circulating cell-free DNA in maternal plasma [7]. Hopefully, sampling of soluble embryonic DNA by liquid biopsy from embryo culture medium will help resolve the current problems of PGS for aneuploidies.

## References

1. Grati FR, Gallazzi G, Branca L, et al. An evidence-based scoring system for prioritizing mosaic aneuploid embryos following preimplantation genetic screening. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2018; 36: 442-449.
2. Munné S. Origins of mosaicism and criteria for the transfer of mosaic embryos. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2018; 36: 369-370.
3. Murtinger M, Wirleitner B, Schuff M. Scoring of mosaic embryos after preimplantation genetic testing: a rollercoaster ride between fear, hope and embryo wastage. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2018.
4. Gleicher N, Metzger J, Croft G, et al. A single trophectoderm biopsy at blastocyst stage is mathematically unable to determine embryo ploidy accurately enough for clinical use. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2017; 15: 33.
5. Xu J, Fang R, Chen L, et al. Noninvasive chromosome screening of human embryos by genome sequencing of embryo culture medium for in vitro fertilization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016; 113: 11907-11912.
6. Wan JCM, Massie C, Garcia-Corbacho J, et al. Liquid biopsies come of age: towards implementation of circulating tumour DNA. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2017; 17: 223-238.
7. Camunas-Soler J, Lee H, Hudgins L, et al. Noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of single-gene disorders by use of droplet digital PCR. *Clinical Chemistry*. 2018; 64: 336-345.