

Comparison of the Renal Function Using Two Different Approaches for Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Retrospective Cohort Study

Ahmed Gwefel*, Osama Louis and Ihab Yassin

Lecturer, Cardiovascular Medicine Department, National Heart Institute, Egypt.

*Correspondence:

Dr. Ahmed Gwefel, Lecturer of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Heart Institute, Egypt, E-mail: ahmed_gwefel@yahoo.com.

Received: 17 Jul 2022; Accepted: 23 Aug 2022; Published: 28 Aug 2022

Citation: Gwefel A, Louis O, Yassin I. Comparison of the Renal Function Using Two Different Approaches for Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Cardiol Vasc Res.* 2022; 6(4): 1-8.

ABSTRACT

Background: Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) continues to be one of the most common major adverse side effects of cardiac catheterization, and is associated with short- and long-term morbidity and mortality. Since the trans-radial approach to coronary angiography was first reported in 1989, trans-radial access has been used for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for approximately 20 years. There is a growing perception that, within the setting of intra-arterial procedures, there is a different risk factor for renal involvement when using radial or femoral access, with femoral access leading to the greatest risk because of its proximity to the high flow bed of the renal arteries. This study aimed to compare the degree of renal dysfunction by different indices in trans-radial and trans-femoral primary PCI.

Materials and Methods: This study had been conducted in the cardiology department; the National Heart Institute during the period from September 2018 to February 2020. The study included 90 patients admitted to the hospital with acute myocardial infarction and underwent primary PCI either through a femoral or radial approach with the Cystatin C and serum creatinine measured before and 72 hours of primary PCI.

Results: A statistical difference between both groups regarding CIN, with p -value=0.044 was found. There was no statistical difference between both groups after PCI regarding serum creatinine and cystatin C with p -value: 0.723 and 0.439, respectively. Concerning serum urea, there was statistical difference between both groups after PCI in the direction to be more in the radial group: it was 28.46 ± 13.89 mg/dl in femoral group and 30.06 ± 7.99 mg/dl in radial group ($p=0.003$). However, time to vascular access was easier with femoral group than with radial group with p -value < 0.001. Regarding complications, there were no statistical difference between two groups except for hematoma (p -value=0.026).

Conclusion: The study showed significant results according to developing CIN between the two groups which is more in femoral approach. The study showed that serum cystatin C did not add value to diagnosis of CIN.

Keywords

Primary PCI, Renal dysfunction, Cystatin C, Serum creatinine, Radial approach, Femoral approach, CIN, Dialysis.

Introduction

Traditionally; Contrast-induced nephropathy "CIN" is defined as either a greater than 25% increase of serum creatinine or an

absolute increase in serum creatinine of 0.5 mg/dl within 48-72 hours of intravenous contrast administration [1].

CIN is normally a transient process, with renal functions reverting to normal within 7-14 days of contrast administration. Less than one-third of patients develop some degree of residual renal impairment [2].

CIN continues to be one of the most common major adverse side effects of cardiac catheterization, and is associated with short- and long-term morbidity and mortality [3].

This is particularly true in the population presenting with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) which was significantly higher compared with patients undergoing non-emergent catheterization [4].

Since the trans-radial approach to coronary angiography was first reported in 1989, trans-radial access has been used for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for approximately 20 years. Many studies have confirmed the advantages of the trans-radial approach over the traditional trans-femoral approach including decreased incidence of access site complications, earlier ambulation, and improved patient comfort [5].

There is a growing perception that, within the setting of intra-arterial procedures, there is a different risk factor for renal involvement when using radial or femoral access, with femoral access leading to the greatest risk because of its proximity to the high flow bed of the renal arteries [6].

Serum levels of cystatin C are a more precise test of kidney function (as represented by the glomerular filtration rate, GFR) than serum creatinine levels [7]. This study aimed to compare the degree of renal dysfunction by different indices in trans-radial PCI and trans-femoral PCI.

Materials and Methods

Ninety patients presented to the National heart institute with acute coronary syndrome (ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction) planned for primary percutaneous coronary intervention. The patients received the standard anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy in the form of heparin/enoxaparin, aspirin, and clopidogrel.

The route of access (whether radial or femoral) was at the discretion of the operator. We divided the patients into two groups:

Femoral group: patients underwent primary PCI through femoral approach (45 patients).

Radial group: patients underwent primary PCI through radial approach (45 patients).

Inclusion Criteria

I- Patients with good pulsating radial artery and adequate collateral connection as demonstrated by Allen's test and pulse oximetry were included in our study.

- **Allen's test:** The palm is rendered ischemic by clenching and opening the hand during compression of the radial and ulnar arteries; the test is positive (normal) if the palm coloration returns to normal within 10 seconds of the release of compression of the ulnar artery while radial artery compression is maintained.

Pulse oximetry: A pulse oximeter was placed on the ipsilateral thumb and the morphology of the plethysmography tracing is noted. The examiner then occluded the radial artery, and any

change in the tracing was noted. The examiner also noted whether the pulse oximeter still gave a constant reading (positive oximetry) or whether it couldn't find a reading (negative oximetry). The response to this maneuver was categorized into 1 of 4 types. Patients with type A and type B responses had uninterrupted arterial filling during radial occlusion. The delayed appearance of a pulsatile tracing in patients with a type C and type D response (1.5% of patients) did not have good pulsatile collateral flow and are excluded from trans-radial catheterization [8].

2- Acute myocardial infarction was diagnosed in patients according to **ESC/ACC (universal) definition of myocardial infarction:** [9] Any of the following criteria satisfy diagnosis of an acute, evolving, or recent myocardial infarction: Typical rise and gradual fall (troponin) or rapid rise and fall (creatinine Kinase MB) of biochemical markers of myocardial necrosis with at least one of the following:

- A. Ischemic symptoms. Typical persistent myocardial ischemic pain, for at least 30 minutes.
- B. Development of pathological Q waves on ECG.
- C. ECG changes indicative of myocardial ischemia.
- D. Coronary artery intervention (e.g., Coronary angiography in doubtful myocardial infarction).

Exclusion Criteria

I- Vascular status:

- A. Absence of pulse in both femoral and radial arteries.
- B. Abnormal Allen's test results (for trans-radial approach).
- C. Patients with cardiogenic shock.
- D. The delayed appearance of a pulsatile tracing with pulse oximetry in patients with a type C and type D response.

II- Procedural characteristics: Use of 6 French incompatible devices.

III- Patients on regular dialysis

Each patient was subjected to the following

Full history and clinical examination

Electrocardiography: A 12-lead surface ECG was done for each patient on admission, repeated after receiving nitroglycerin. After PCI, another 12-lead ECG was done. ECG was recorded at a paper speed of 25 mm/s and amplification of 10 mm/mV.

All patients were given 600 mg clopidogrel, 300 mg aspirin, UFH/LMWH (low-dose unfractionated heparin, 50 U/kg (regardless of the use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors), while the standard dose of unfractionated heparin is 85 U/kg (60 U/kg with Gp IIb/IIIa inhibitors) [10] during the procedure and continued during hospital stay unless contraindicated, IIb/IIIa glycoprotein receptor blocker (Tirofiban) unless contraindicated, in addition to the conventional anti-ischemic and anti-anginal treatment as nitrates.

Laboratory investigations: the following investigations were done on admission: Serum creatinine, cystatin C, electrolytes, complete blood count, random blood sugar, creatine phosphokinase

(both total assays, as well as an assay of the MB-fraction), basal liver function tests including ALT, AST and PT time. In the CCU, all patients had routine assay of their cardiac enzymes, CPK, and LDH, every 8 hours for the first 24 hours after admission and then every 24 hours till normalization. Follow up serum creatinine and cystatin c level every 24 hours to diagnose CIN.

Coronary angiography: was performed as soon as possible, upon arrival of the on-call team. We started, by catheterization of the artery of the non-infract region, followed by the culprit one. PCI stenting of the culprit lesion was done.

Femoral artery cannulation: The percutaneous transluminal technique was used for all patients. After the appearance of pulsatile blood from the arterial needle, a 0.035- guidewire was advanced then followed by insertion of a 6 Fr arterial sheath.

Radial artery cannulation: The wrist was shaved and wiped with iodine. The groin was shaved and wiped with iodine in case of radial artery puncture failure. The arm was supported by an extension of the catheterization table and abducted (45c). The wrist was hyperextended by placing a supporting role under the dorsum of the hand. Local anesthesia in the form of 1-2ml of xylocaine 2% was given before the puncture [11].

Puncture: The radial artery was punctured using 19-gauge arterial needles 1 cm proximal from the styloid process, at 45° from lateral to medial.

Sheath insertion: A 0.021-inch guidewire was introduced through the needle without using force. A small skin incision was made by a number 11 surgical blade with rounded to prevent damage to the radial artery. A 6 French sheath was inserted in the radial artery to prevent spasms and to reduce discomfort during catheters exchange.

Local medication: To reduce discomfort and to prevent spasms in the radial artery, the intra-arterial cocktail was administrated locally consisting of 5000 IU unfractionated heparin (in spite that it is not a spasmolytic drug, 200 microgram Nitroglycerine [12].

Coronary artery cannulation: 6 French guiding catheters were used. A guiding catheter was selected with an appropriate curve to provide backup support during angioplasty.

⊗ **For left coronary artery:** Judkins left and Amplatz left.

⊗ **For right coronary artery:** Judkins right, Williams, and Amplatz right.

Aspiration Catheter

The Guard Wire Plus system consisted of two elements: the Guard Wire Plus temporary occlusion wire with an inflation system and the Export Aspiration Catheter (EAC). The EAC, with a 5.4 × 3.5 French distal 35 cm monorail section and 4.6 Fr proximal section, was a 135cm long catheter with a 0.040" diameter internal lumen that allowed for aspiration and removal of particulate debris.

The distal monorail section could accommodate any commercial 0.014" coronary angioplasty guidewire. The catheter could be inserted through any 7 Fr coronary guiding catheter over a 0.014" guidewire in a monorail fashion into coronary arteries with a luminal diameter larger than 2 mm. The catheter was connected to a syringe for manual aspiration, and the aspirated material could be retained for further examination [13].

Rapid change balloon catheters were used in combination with 0.0014 –in floppy wire in most cases. Stents were deployed using the standard technique.

Sheath Removal

In the case of radial access, the sheath was removed immediately after the procedure. Some back bleeding was allowed to prevent distal embolization. Hemostasis of the radial puncture site was obtained by placing a rolled pile of gauze over the puncture site immediately after sheath removal. Two elastic straps were applied crosswise over the gauze. One tourniquet was applied over this pressure bandage. For the first 2 hours, the pressure of the tourniquet was gradually decreased. A careful follow-up to the hand and forearm was done to detect any local complications. Patients were advised to restrict movement of the wrist joint. After the femoral approach, patients had bed rest for 10 hours, the sheath was removed after APTT returned to normal value.

Angiographic Analysis

The procedure was considered successful with adequate struts expansion, less than 30 % residual stenosis, absence of edge dissection, and absence of in-stent thrombosis.

Coronary Flow Assessment

Coronary flow was graded according to the TIMI study criteria as by *Gibson et al.* [14].

TIMI grade 0: complete occlusion, with the absence of contrast flow distal to the infract-related occlusion site.

TIMI grade 1: The contrast penetrates around the site of obstruction but minimal distal antegrade perfusion is present.

TIMI grade 2: Reduced rate of entry and clearance of the contrast into and from the distal coronary artery bed.

TIMI grade 3: Normal entry and clearance rates of contrast to and from the distal coronary artery bed.

No reflow was diagnosed when there is a reduction of 1 or more in the TIMI grade or patients with TIMI 3 flow and low TIMI myocardial perfusion grade (0-1).

Echocardiography and Doppler Imaging

All patients underwent a conventional transthoracic two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic examination. The patients were examined in the supine or left lateral positions. This was done to obtain the left ventricular ejection fraction by 2-D Simpson's method, diastolic function, valvular affection, and presence of wall motion abnormalities [15].

All through the previous procedures, the patients were subjected to close follow-up, and vital signs monitoring. Major adverse cardiac

and cerebral events (MACE) defined as re-infarction, death; target vessel revascularization, coronary artery bypass grafting will be monitored, in addition to cerebral hemorrhage, stroke, and severe bleeding necessitating blood transfusion.

The Efficacy endpoints were assessed as follows:

A. Clinically: time to resolving of chest pain, absence of signs of heart failure, or re-infarction.

B. ECG: resolution of the ST segment elevation 90 minutes after the PCI ($\geq 70\%$ = complete, 30-69%= partial, $< 30\%$ = none) [16].

C. Angiography: restoration of TIMI III flow, myocardial blushing, and absence of residual stenosis in the infarct-related artery.

D. Echocardiography: good global LV systolic function, good global and regional LV functions in the area supplied by the infarct-related artery. Good SWMA score in the area of the culprit artery [15].

The safety endpoints were assessed through the occurrence of puncture site complications as follows:

A. Pseudo-aneurysm: defined by a pulsating hematoma from disruption of a portion of the arterial wall. It was assessed and diagnosed by a Duplex study on the affected limb [17].

B. Arterio-venous fistula: resulting from accidental puncture of adjacent vein during arterial puncture with hematoma formation between the two holes. It may also result in association with venous catheterization and was assessed by stethoscope and Duplex study.

C. Arterial dissection: defined by the presence of arteriography evident intimal damage producing either an intraluminal filling defect or extravasation of contrast material [18].

D. Subcutaneous hematoma: It is the collection of blood within the soft tissue that causes a tender baseball size mass.

E. Major hemorrhage: defined as: uncontrolled bleeding, bleeding requiring transfusion, an expanding hematoma whose size threatened the viability of the overlying tissues.

Statistical Analysis

All data were collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using SPSS 20.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as the mean \pm SD & median (range), and qualitative data were expressed as numbers and percentages. Continuous data were checked for normality by using the Shapiro Walk test. Independent Student t-test was used to compare two groups of normally distributed data. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare two groups of non-normally distributed data. Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used to compare two dependent groups of non-normally distributed data. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. Spearman's coefficient was calculated to assess the relationship between study parameters, (+) sign indicate direct correlation & (-) sign indicate inverse correlation, also values near to 1 indicate strong correlation & values near 0 indicate weak correlation. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to identify optimal cut-off values of serum Cystatin C with maximum sensitivity and specificity for the prediction of CIN. Area under Curve (AUROC) was also

calculated, criteria to qualify for AUC were as follows: 0.90 – 1 = excellent, 0.80-0.90 = good, 0.70-0.80 = fair; 0.60-0.70 = poor; and 0.50-0.6 = fail. The optimal cutoff point was established at the point of maximum accuracy. All tests were two-sided. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

There was no statistically significant difference regarding the demographic data i.e. sex, age and weight in our study between both groups ($p=0.153, 0.277, 0.422$ respectively) (Table 1).

There was no statistically difference between both groups regarding systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, smoking, hypertension or dyslipidemia ($p=0.662, 0.844, 0.833, 0.673, 0.239$ respectively) while there was statistically significant difference between both groups regarding diabetes mellitus and history of premature CAD in the radial group ($p=0.011, 0.048$ respectively) (Table 1).

There was statistically significant difference between both groups regarding Time to vascular access as the operator consumed 1.15 ± 0.42 minutes to get the vascular access in femoral group and 3.15 ± 1.38 minutes in radial group (p -value < 0.001) as mentioned in table 2 while there was no statistical difference regarding contrast volume used and radiation exposure time. ($p=0.376, 0.663$ respectively) (Table 2).

About renal markers before and after PCI (Table 3): with serum creatinine and cystatin C: There was statistical difference between both groups before PCI ($p=0.018, 0.022$ respectively) but there was no statistical difference between both groups after PCI ($p=0.723, 0.439$ respectively) while with serum urea, there was statistical difference between both groups regarding before and after PCI. Before PCI; It was 23.95 ± 5.84 mg/dl in-femoral group and 28.90 ± 7.64 mg/dl in-radial group ($p=0.001$). After PCI it was 28.46 ± 13.89 mg/dl in femoral group and 30.06 ± 7.99 mg/dl in radial group ($p=0.003$).

There was statistical difference between both groups in contrast-induced nephropathy. In femoral group, we had 8 patients (17.8%) developed CIN while in radial group, we had only 2 patients (4.4 %) who developed CIN ($p=0.044$). On the other hand, there was no statistical difference between both groups regarding the need for dialysis ($p=1.000$) (Tables 4 & 5).

There was no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding MACE, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, ventricular fibrillation, and cardiogenic shock ($p=0.748, 1.000, 1.000, 1.000$ respectively) while there was statistical difference between both groups regarding local vascular access hematoma, in femoral group we had 7 patients (15.6%) with hematomas while in radial group there was only 1 patient (2.2 %) developed hematoma ($p=0.026$). Finally, we did not have mortality in our study patients (Table 6).

Table 1: Comparison between Both Groups Regarding Demographic, Clinical Data, And Risk Factors..

Variable	Femoral group (N=45)	Radial group (N=45)	p-value
Demographic data			
Sex			
Male	30 (66.7%)	36 (80%)	0.153
Female	15 (33.3%)	9 (20%)	
Age (years)	54.31 ± 10.62	56.64 ± 9.56	0.277
Weight (kg)	76.44 ± 9.46	74.88 ± 7.98	0.422
Blood Pressure			
SBP (mmHg)	123.11 ± 17.94	124.77 ± 17.64	0.662
DBP (mmHg)	76.44 ± 8.83	77.22 ± 10.63	0.844
Risk factors			
Smoking	21 (46.7%)	22 (48.9%)	0.833
Diabetes mellitus	28 (62.2%)	16 (35.6%)	0.011
Hypertension	22 (48.9%)	20 (44.4%)	0.673
Premature coronary artery disease	11 (24.4%)	4 (8.9%)	0.048
Dyslipidemia	15 (33.3%)	10 (22.2%)	0.239

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure

Table 2: Comparison between the Studied Groups Regarding Technical Data of PCI.

PCI parameters	Femoral group (N=45)	Radial group (N=45)	p-value
Time to vascular access (mins)	1.15 ± 0.42	3.15 ± 1.38	<0.001
Contrast volume (ml)	208.44 ± 96.29	220.44 ± 86.57	0.376
Radiation exposure time (min)	48.33 ± 11.28	50.33 ± 13.45	0.663

Table 3: Comparison of Renal Function Parameters between the Studied Groups before and After PCI.

	Femoral group (N=45)	Radial group (N=45)	p-value
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)			
Before PCI	0.97 ± 0.17	1.18 ± 0.38	0.018
After PCI	1.31 ± 1.04	1.24 ± 0.76	0.723
Serum Urea (mg/dl)			
Before PCI	28.90 ± 7.64	23.95 ± 5.84	0.001
After PCI	28.46 ± 13.89	30.06 ± 7.99	0.003
Serum Cystatin C (mg/L)			
Before PCI	0.90 ± 0.14	1.05 ± 0.28	0.022
After PCI	1.21 ± 0.62	1.27 ± 0.66	0.439

PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention.

Table 4: Comparison between the studied groups regarding contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN).

Variable	Femoral group (N=45)	Radial group (N=45)	p-value
CIN	8 (17.8%)	2 (4.4%)	0.044

CIN: contrast-induced nephropathy.

Table 5: Comparison between the subgroups of patients developing CIN regarding the need for dialysis.

Variable	Femoral group (N=8)	Radial group (N=2)	p-value
Need for dialysis	2 (25%)	1 (50%)	1.000

Table 6: Comparison between the studied groups regarding outcomes.

Outcome	Femoral group (N=45)	Radial group (N=45)	p-value
MACE	6 (13.3%)	5 (11.1%)	0.748
Heart Failure	3 (6.7%)	2 (4.4%)	1.000
Atrial fibrillation	2 (4.4%)	1 (2.2%)	1.000
Ventricular tachycardia	1 (2.2%)	1 (2.2%)	1.000
Shock	0 (0%)	1 (2.2%)	1.000
Hematoma	7 (15.6%)	1 (2.2%)	0.026
Death	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000

Table 7: Correlation between renal markers before PCI and study variables.

Variables	Serum creatinine (mg/dl) before PCI		Serum urea (mg/dl) before PCI		Serum Cystatin C (mg/L) before PCI	
	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value
Age (years)	+0.075	0.483	+0.146	0.169	+0.060	0.571
Weight (kg)	+0.147	0.168	+0.195	0.066	-0.195	0.065
SBP (mmHg)	+0.121	0.256	+0.112	0.294	+0.138	0.193
DBP (mmHg)	+0.141	0.184	+0.052	0.625	+0.006	0.953

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, r: Spearman's correlation coefficient, p< 0.05 is significant.

Table 8: Correlation between renal markers after PCI and study variables.

Variables	Serum creatinine (mg/dl) after PCI		Serum urea (mg/dl) after PCI		Serum Cystatin C (mg/L) before PCI	
	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value
Age (years)	+0.327	0.002	+0.235	0.026	+0.182	0.086
Weight (kg)	+0.295	0.005	+0.203	0.055	-0.007	0.948
SBP (mmHg)	+0.140	0.189	+0.156	0.142	+0.169	0.110
DBP (mmHg)	+0.091	0.392	+0.108	0.311	+0.052	0.625
Chest pain to PCI time (min)	-0.010	0.927	+0.202	0.056	+ 0.067	0.532
Contrast volume (ml)	+0.252	0.016	+0.220	0.037	+ 0.158	0.137
Radiation time (min)	+0.199	0.061	+0.105	0.326	+0.007	0.944

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, min: minutes, r: Spearman's correlation coefficient.

There was no significant correlation between renal markers before PCI and other studied variables (Table 7) while there was statistically significant positive correlation of both serum creatinine ($r=0.327$, $p=0.002$) and serum urea ($r=0.235$, $P=0.026$) with age of the study cases. Also, there was statistically significant positive correlation of both serum creatinine ($r=0.252$, $p=0.016$) and serum urea ($r=0.220$, $P=0.037$) with contrast volume used in the study cases (Table 8).

Discussion

Coronary angioplasty and stenting are performed via the transfemoral approach in the majority of Egyptian centers. In primary PCI, the suspected complex intervention is higher than elective interventions, so, the need for complex intervention tools is higher and so, easier through the femoral approach. Our study included 90 patients; 45 patients underwent primary PCI via femoral approach and 45 patients via radial approach.

In this study, no patient was shifted from radial approach to femoral approach, but 2 patients were shifted from femoral to radial approach, the first patient was due to highly aortic tortuosity and the second was due to old stable abdominal aortic aneurysm.

According to the difference between the two approaches on developing CIN, our results were concordant with Vuurmans et al. where there is a growing perception that, within the setting of intra-arterial procedures, there is a different risk factor for renal involvement when using radial or femoral access, with femoral access leading to the greatest risk because of its proximity to the high flow bed of the renal arteries [6].

The trans-radial approach might minimize the risk of cholesterol embolization to the kidney. Also, there was a reduction in episodes

of hypotension because of hemodynamically significant bleeding or vasovagal responses in association with femoral sheath removal with a resultant component of ischemic renal injury [19].

Complicated cases of myocardial infarction may direct the operator to the femoral approach, which is easier, more accessible, less time consuming. Hypotension and shock are important complications associated with MI in which renal perfusion decreases helping in increasing the incidence of renal impairment. Complex lesions and difficult interventions need much more time and dye which increased the incidence of renal impairment regarding demographic, clinical data, and risk factors, the results of this study were concordant with Pancholy et al. in their study on 283 consecutive patients who underwent primary PCI, 177 by transfemoral approach and 106 by transfemoral approach [20].

Diabetes Mellitus and premature coronary artery disease were statistically significant risk factors between the two groups and these were against the results of Pancholy et al. [20].

The time taken for puncture and sheath insertion was longer in the radial group compared with the femoral group and this was concordant with Philippe et al. in their study on 119 patients who underwent primary angioplasty with abciximab either through transfemoral or trans-radial [21], while radiation exposure time showed non-significant results which were not consistent with Georges et al. in their multicenter study who reported that radial access was associated with lower radiation doses to patient than femoral access in high-radial-volume centers and stated that provided that radioprotection methods are employed, radial access could be associated with lower patient radiation exposure [22].

There were non-significant results according to MACE between the two groups as only 6 patients in the femoral group developed MACE versus 5 patients in the radial group. There was no mortality in our study and our results are concordant with *TEMPURA Trial*, follow-up results of survivors in both groups (six months follow-up) and the composite MACE-free survival showed no statistically significant differences in both groups [5]. Also, results of Philippe et al. study showed that uncomplicated clinical course occurred in 62 (97%) of patients in the radial group and 49 (89%) of patients in the femoral group were free of MACE (P=0.04). These results were statistically non-significant [21].

Only one patient (2.2%) from the radial group suffered from a minor puncture site complication which was (minor bleeding-small hematoma). In comparison, four patients (15.6%) from the femoral group suffered from statistically significant hematomas. Mathias et al. in their study reported that trans-radial angioplasty in acute myocardial infarction may be an attractive option in thrombolytic therapy patients (facilitated PCI) or those who require aggressive anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy. They included fourteen patients with acute STEMI who underwent trans-radial coronary angioplasty and stenting. Thirteen patients received a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor. There were no procedural or access site complications [23].

The radial artery is also superficial, and it may be easily compressed achieving adequate hemostasis without active manual compression, but only with a passive pressure device or bandage, reducing the workload of nursing and medical staff. Conversely, transfemoral procedures are constantly burdened by several local complications that even closure devices cannot completely avoid, despite the technological improvement in these devices and increasing experience regarding their use.

This was evident in the series of patients reported by Louvard et al. and the results of a non-randomized comparison of trans-radial and trans-femoral approaches for primary PCI. The study included 1214 patients treated in two European centers; 22% (n=277) of patients were treated via the trans-radial approach while 78% (n=947) of patients were treated via the trans-femoral approach with the use of a vascular closure system in 889 patients and manual compression in the remaining 58 patients. Overall, local bleeding complications only occurred in patients treated via the trans-femoral approach, whether or not the per close system was used; transfusion or surgery was required in 12 patients from the 947 patients of the femoral group (1.3%). However, in patients who received a per close system only two patients from 889 (0.02%) patients required blood transfusions and none needed surgical intervention whereas the rate was 10 patients from 58(17.2%) for patients treated by manual compression [24].

Our study showed the relation between the urea, creatinine, and cystatin C among the two groups before the primary PCI which was significant for the urea, creatinine, and cystatin C. This was not consistent with Liu et al. who found that baseline serum

creatinine was non-significant [25]. This was in disagreement with Shacham et al. who found that the baseline serum creatinine was highly significant among the studied groups [26].

The study showed the relation between the urea and the creatinine among the three groups after the primary PCI was significant for the serum urea and highly significant for the serum creatinine and Cystatin C. This was in agreement with Shacham et al. who found that there was an increase in the serum creatinine and serum urea after primary PCI [27].

Serum cystatin C is one of the most sensitive renal markers regarding CIN but it did not add value to serum creatinine level in our study, these results were concordant to Roos, et al. who found that the traditional serum creatinine follow up is fair enough for a diagnosis of CIN [7].

This study recommends using the radial approach in primary PCI as an alternative to the femoral approach due to low incidence of acute kidney injury and developing contrast-induced nephropathy and low local vascular complications. Limitations of our study were that the results were obtained from a single center (National heart institute) and different operators with variable skills; it is a non-randomized controlled trial with different possibilities of biases.

In conclusion, this study showed significant results according to developing CIN between the two groups, which is more in femoral approach. The study showed that serum cystatin C have not benefit to the diagnosis of CIN.

References

1. Barrett BJ, Parfrey PS. Clinical practice. Preventing nephropathy induced by contrast medium. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 354: 379-386.
2. Abe M, Morimoto T, Akao M, et al. Relation of contrast-induced nephropathy to long-term mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol*. 2014; 114: 362-368.
3. Marenzi G, Lauri G, Assanelli E, et al. Contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004; 44: 1780-1785.
4. Chong E, Poh KK, Liang S, et al. Risk factors and clinical outcomes for contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with normal serum creatinine. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2010; 39: 374-380.
5. Saito S, Tanaka S, Hiroe Y, et al. Comparative study on transradial approach vs. transfemoral approach in primary stent implantation for patients with acute myocardial infarction: results of the test for myocardial infarction by prospective unicenter randomization for access sites (TEMPURA) trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv Off J Soc Card Angiogr Interv*. 2003; 59: 26-33.

6. Vuurmans T, Byrne J, Fretz E, et al. Chronic kidney injury in patients after cardiac catheterisation or percutaneous coronary intervention: a comparison of radial and femoral approaches (from the British Columbia Cardiac and Renal Registries). *Heart Br Card Soc.* 2010; 96: 1538-1542.
7. Roos JF, Doust J, Tett SE, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of cystatin C compared to serum creatinine for the estimation of renal dysfunction in adults and children--a meta-analysis. *Clin Biochem.* 2007; 40: 383-391.
8. Barbeau GR, Arsenault F, Dugas L, et al. Evaluation of the ulnopalmar arterial arches with pulse oximetry and plethysmography: comparison with the Allen's test in 1010 patients. *Am Heart J.* 2004; 147: 489-493.
9. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2012; 33: 2551-2567.
10. FUTURA/OASIS-8 Trial Group, Steg PG, Jolly SS, et al. Low-dose vs standard-dose unfractionated heparin for percutaneous coronary intervention in acute coronary syndromes treated with fondaparinux: the FUTURA/OASIS-8 randomized trial. *JAMA.* 2010; 304: 1339-1349.
11. Shiloh AL, Savel RH, Paulin LM, et al. Ultrasound-guided catheterization of the radial artery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest.* 2011; 139: 524-529.
12. Kiemeneij F. Prevention and management of radial artery spasm. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2006; 18: 159-160.
13. Chevalier B, Gilard M, Lang I, et al. Systematic primary aspiration in acute myocardial percutaneous intervention: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of the export aspiration catheter. *EuroIntervention J Eur Collab Work Group Interv Cardiol Eur Soc Cardiol.* 2008; 4: 222-228.
14. Gibson CM, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, et al. Relationship of the TIMI myocardial perfusion grades, flow grades, frame count, and percutaneous coronary intervention to long-term outcomes after thrombolytic administration in acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2002; 105: 1909-1913.
15. Cheitlin MD, Armstrong WF, Aurigemma GP, et al. ACC/AHA/ASE 2003 Guideline Update for the Clinical Application of Echocardiography: summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA/ASE Committee to Update the 1997 Guidelines for the Clinical Application of Echocardiography). *J Am Soc Echocardiogr Off Publ Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2003; 16: 1091-1110.
16. Schröder R, Zeymer U, Wegscheider K, et al. Comparison of the predictive value of ST segment elevation resolution at 90 and 180 min after start of streptokinase in acute myocardial infarction. A substudy of the hirudin for improvement of thrombolysis (HIT)-4 study. *Eur Heart J.* 1999; 20: 1563-1571.
17. Rapoport S, Sniderman KW, Morse SS, et al. Pseudoaneurysm: a complication of faulty technique in femoral arterial puncture. *Radiology.* 1985; 154: 529-530.
18. Dorros G, Cowley MJ, Simpson J, et al. Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: report of complications from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute PTCA Registry. *Circulation.* 1983; 67: 723-730.
19. Kooiman J, Seth M, Dixon S, et al. Risk of acute kidney injury after percutaneous coronary interventions using radial versus femoral vascular access: insights from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014; 7: 190-198.
20. Pancholy S, Patel T, Sanghvi K, et al. Comparison of door-to-balloon times for primary PCI using transradial versus transfemoral approach. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv Off J Soc Card Angiogr Interv.* 2010; 75: 991-995.
21. Philippe F, Larrazet F, Meziane T, et al. Comparison of transradial vs. transfemoral approach in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction with primary angioplasty and abciximab. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv Off J Soc Card Angiogr Interv.* 2004; 61: 67-73.
22. Georges JL, Belle L, Meunier L, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography and intervention is associated with lower patient radiation exposure in high-radial-volume centres: Insights from the RAY'ACT-1 study. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis.* 2017; 110: 179-187.
23. Mathias DW, Bigler L. Transradial coronary angioplasty and stent implantation in acute myocardial infarction: initial experience. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2000; 12: 547-549.
24. Louvard Y, Ludwig J, Lefèvre T, et al. Transradial approach for coronary angioplasty in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: a dual-center registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv Off J Soc Card Angiogr Interv.* 2002; 55: 206-211.
25. Liu YH, Liu Y, Tan N, et al. Predictive value of GRACE risk scores for contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction before undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2014; 46: 417-426.
26. Shacham Y, Gal-Oz A, Ben-Shoshan J, et al. Prognostic Implications of Acute Renal Impairment among ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients with Preserved Left Ventricular Function. *Cardiorenal Med.* 2016; 6: 143-149.
27. Shacham Y, Gal-Oz A, Leshem-Rubinow E, et al. Admission Glucose Levels and the Risk of Acute Kidney Injury in Nondiabetic ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Cardiorenal Med.* 2015; 5: 191-198.