Research Article ISSN 2639-9490

Oral Health & Dental Science

Dentists Comprehension to Personal Protection Equipment Use Post COVID-19 Pandemic

Rawaa Y. Al-Rawee^{1*}, Karam Ibrahim Al-Saidi², Fatima Ahmad Mohammad¹ and Bashar Abdul-Ghani Tawfeeq³

¹Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Al-Salam Teaching Hospital, Nineveh Health Directorate. Iraq.

²Department of Oral Surgery. Al-Noor Specialized Dental Center. Nineveh Health Directorate

³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Al-Noor University College. Mosul, Iraq.

*Correspondence:

Rawaa Y. Al-Rawee. Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Al-Salam Teaching Hospital, Nineveh Health Directorate. Iraq, Tel: 009647726438648, 0000-0003-2554-1121.

Received: 29 Nov 2022; **Accepted:** 18 Dec 2022; **Published:** 23 Dec 2022

Citation: Al-Rawee RY, Al-Saidi KI, Mohammad FA, et al. Dentists Comprehension to Personal Protection Equipment Use Post COVID-19 Pandemic. Oral Health Dental Sci. 2022; 6(2); 1-8.

ABSTRACT

Background: It has been shown that health care professionals, including dentists, who wear personal protective equipment, reduce the spread of COVID-19, which lowers patient morbidity and mortality as well as illness and absenteeism.

Aim: The purpose of the study was to evaluate the impact of dentists' Comprehension of personal infection control and their level of trust in PPE as part of the dental profession's response post COVID-19 pandemic.

Material and Method: The sample size was (388) participants distributed as (Specialist, General Dental Practitioners, Dental Branch Practitioners, and Rotators). Google form prepared with specific questions (Demographical, Practice and drawback questions) translated to the mother language (Arabic Language). Structured and distributed online by sharing the link through electronic platforms. Two responses were used in a statistical analysis using the SPSS Package version. Significant p value of 0.05 or less is used. Descriptive statistics were used in the data analysis to analyze the percentage, mean and standard deviation values. All questions employ the spearman test to determine correlations. Kruskal-Wallis H for comparing differences between groups including qualification and experience period between participants.

Result: Significant results are shown in both rotators and general practitioners for comparison of different qualification levels between dentists. All dentists are use and practicing well the personal protection equipment.

Conclusions: Overall, dentists in the current study are well practice the PPE post COVID-19.

Keywords

Dentist Perception, COVID 19, Personal Protection Equipment, Analytical Study, Practice Questionnaires.

Introduction

Two thousand Ninety; was a remarkable year all across the world. The COVID-19 virus, which has claimed many lives, is the deadliest health problem facing humanity [1]. As a result

of the epidemic's onslaught, people at all stages of life faced a variety of challenges (social, economic, health, and political). The government did everything it could to prevent the epidemic from spreading widely [2]. In addition to odor and test loss, COVID-19 infection symptoms include fever, cough, tiredness, anorexia, shortness of breath, and myalgia, all of which have a significant mortality risk [3].

Oral Health Dental Sci, 2022 Volume 6 | Issue 2 | 1 of 8

However, some people experience symptomless attacks, which were recorded in the early COVID-19 investigations at a rate of 1.5–2.8% [4]. Asymptomatic individuals pose a particular risk to dental practitioners since they could unintentionally show up for their appointment while harboring the COVID-19 virus. Dental practitioners are not immune to these challenges since it is believed that saliva is a source of the COVID-19 virus [5]. Due to their close proximity to patients, the dental team is at risk of contracting an illness.

Due to their proximity to the patient's mouth and nose while performing their duties, dental staffs are also more vulnerable [6]. Additionally, the aerosol-generating procedures (AGPs) cause airborne droplets to be released from the patient's mouth into the environment, which is one of the primary ways that COVID-19 is spread [7]. Research into how to safely resume dental care led to the creation of new protocols for use with better personal protection equipment (PPE) [8].

By educating healthcare workers (HCWs) about the illness and the steps required to stop its spread, primarily through the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). One aim of these initiatives was to increase their preparedness [9].

In fact, proper PPE use, such as the use of gloves, dressing gowns, masks, and protective eyewear, can lessen the spread of infections [10]. The use of PPE by HCWs, including dentists, has been shown to reduce the spread of COVID-19, which lowers patient morbidity and mortality as well as illness and absenteeism [11]. Dental professionals might not fully understand the relevance and effectiveness of PPE, and reports of misuse and noncompliance are frequent. New protection protocols are upheld for the performance of safe dental procedures with improved personal protective equipment (PPE) [12].

The new procedures have been successful in lowering the danger of transmission in dental offices [13]. However, some detrimental aspects need to be addressed, such as the physical challenges of wearing greater PPE and the increased anxiety among dentists and dental workers [14]. In addition, there is the time lost during fallow periods as well as the additional time required for donning and donning [15,16]. All of these elements, as well as the higher price of upgraded PPE and mitigation elements, lower the number of patients that may be seen in a day, which lowers earnings [17,18]. Due to the novelty of COVID-19, Iraq, like other nations, initially lacked knowledge and evidence-based recommendations for protection and prevention of transmission. The purpose of this study was to look into the PPE practices of dentists as well as the negative effects of utilizing PPE in dental work at the epidemic and post it.

Aim

The purpose of the study was to evaluate the impact of dentists' comprehension of personal infection control and their level of trust in PPE as part of the dental profession's response post COVID-19

pandemic. The null hypothesis, according to the authors, is that dentists' comprehension and practice PPE use post COVID-19 are identical, with no differences. There are also differences in how much dentists know about COVID-19 based on their professional position and work history.

Material and Method

A cross sectional study was conducted between Augusts to November 2022 among Dentists working in Nineveh Health Directorate in Nineveh Province. Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the Institutional Review of the Authorized Scientific Committee in Nineveh Health Directorate with the numbered session 235 in 31/8 / 2022 with research number 2022142 (no. 31447, date 5 / 9 / 2022).

The participants were given a thorough explanation of the research's objectives and methodology. As a result, decisions about sharing or not were made based on preferences rather than requirements. For this, a fake written consent form was created. Dentists are the study's sample, regardless of whether they are employed by Nineveh's public hospitals, health facilities, or clinics. Three hundred and eighty-eight participants made up the sample size, distributed as follows: specialists, general dental practitioners, dental branch practitioners, and rotators. The mother Arabic language translation of a Google form with specific inquiries (demographic and practice questions) distributed and organized online by the distribution of the link on electronic platforms (Facebook, WhatsApp, Telegram, emails, and other social media).

The form only spread to dentists, regardless of their level of employment or specialization. All fields must be filled out because the form cannot be submitted if any are left blank. The form will be distributed to dentists' colleagues in order to ensure the greatest possible participation. Information is gathered from hospitals, health clinics, primary care facilities, and rural health centers. In order to better serve the Iraqi community, the questions are searched for, collected from various websites, and changed. The form only spread to dentists, regardless of their level of employment or area of expertise.

All fields must be filled out because the form cannot be submitted if any are left blank. The form will be distributed to dentists' colleagues in order to ensure the greatest possible participation. The survey include

- (1) Basic demographic characteristics gender, professional status, type of dental setup, and working experience).
- (2) Practice and drawback questioners regarding the use of a face mask and shield, gloves, and gowns post COVID-19. The second part of the questionnaire was consisting of 12 questions.

The questionnaire consisted of three parts:

First Section: Basic demographic characteristics

There are five personal questions in this section. Age, gender,

education, employment history, and professional experience (years). Age brackets of 25–30 years, 31–35 years, 36–40 years, 41–45 years, 56–50 years, and over 51 years. Men and women are both genders. Specialist, general practitioner, branch practitioner, and rotator credentials are required. Hospitals, health specialist centers, primary health centers, and rural area health centers are the four work locations that were also recorded. The recommended ranges for professional experience in years were 1–10 years, 11–20 years, and more than 21 years.

Second Section: Is About the Practicing Principles of PPE

Seven concentrated questions about using PPE in the work place questions numbered from [1-], these are Do you use a surgical mask for all dental procedures?, Do you use respirators (e.g., N95) when indicated?, Do you use a face shield?, Do you always use the full PPE inspit of the case simplicity?, In vaccinated patients you stay use full PPE?, When you are vaccinated you stay use full PPE?

Third Section: Drawback.

In this section authors highlight the difficulties which that prevent the personal implementation or use the PPE in the institutions. The five questions are from [8-12] involve: Is it hard to make decisions while wearing the full PPE?, Face shield affecting your vision?, Do you feel fatigue/tired during or at the end of the dental treatment?, Is your PPE impacting your clinical time?, Is it hard to hear/see around you while wearing the full PPE?

Google form arranged as questions in total divisions collected and analysed. Two point scales are used to assess answers in all sections except the demographical informations, answers ranged from true or false.

Statistical and Data Analysis

Information on each participant was entered into an Excel data sheet forum using a Pentium IV. A statistical analysis utilizing the SPSS Package version included three responses [21]. A Likert scale test with a significant p value of 0.05 or less was chosen to be used. The data was then shown in the appropriate tables and diagrams. The percentages for the various group comparisons of the sample variables were discovered by calculating the odd ratio. In order to examine the percentage, mean, and standard deviation values, descriptive statistics were utilized in the data analysis. The Spearman test is used on every question to find correlations. The analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows, version 21.0. The 0.01 level of significance for correlation is two-tailed. **Kruskal-Wallis H** for comparing differences between groups including qualification and experience period between participants.

Result

First Section: Basic demographic characteristics

Three hundred eighty-eight participants made up the sample size, distributed as follows: specialists, general dental practitioners, dental branch practitioners, and rotators. The group with the largest percentage of participants is general dental practitioners

(129, 33%), followed by specialists (113, 29%). Table 1 focuses on the thorough demographic data of the study sample. The greatest group (118, 30%) is represented by the age group (36-40y). More than half of the participants (227, or 59%) are male. Regarding the workplace, the majority of participants (139, 36%) work in primary health centers. The largest group also has between ten and twenty years of professional experience (165, 43%).

Table 1: Demographical Informations of Study Sample.

Variables		Dentists No.		
		No.	%	
	25-30 y	105	0.27	
	31-35 y	42	0.11	
A	36-40y	118	0.30	
Age	41- 45 y	43	0.11	
	46- 50 y	57	0.15	
	more than 51 y	23	0.06	
Gender	Male	227	0.59	
Gender	Female	161	0.41	
	Specialist	113	0.29	
0 1:6 4:	General P.	129	0.33	
Qualification	branch practitioner	59	0.15	
	Rotator	87	0.22	
	Hospital	54	0.14	
XX7 1 1	Health Specialist Centers	122	0.31	
Work-place	Primary Health Centers	139	0.36	
	Rural Area Health Centers	73	0.19	
D C : 1	1-10Y	147	0.37	
Professional	10-20Y	165	0.43	
experience (years)	More than 20 Y	76	0.20	
Total No. of Sample		388 Dentists		

Questions concerning the dentist's practice PPE are demonstrated in the Table two with details of yes and no numbers and percentages as follow.

Second Section: practicing principles of PPE (Table 2)

Seven concentrated questions about using PPE in the work place questions numbered from (1-7). All dentists are agreed on use a surgical mask for all dental procedures, on the contrary the 60% of dentists claimed that use respirators masks as N95 when indicated. Two hundred dentists not like to use face shields (55%) and quarter of the sample not use full PPE in simple cases (28.8%). Three questions emphasize the state of vaccination whether the patients or the dentists; 65.9% not use full PPE in vaccinated patients on the other side 39% stay use full PPE even if they are vaccinated. Half of the sample state that they still use full PPE when the epidemic ends 51.8%

Third Section: Drawback.

Drawbacks for use of PPE result are collected too with six questions are from (22 - 26). All dentists (388) don't agree on the idea that making decision is difficult with the PPE wearing from those 60% find face shield can affect vision to some extent and 73% find that PPE impacting clinical time too. Near fifty / fifty complained that hearing can be less while wearing the full PPE (51% / 49%).

Table 2: Dentist Practicing and Drawback Opinions about PPE (Questions and Answers)

	Questions answers				
Questions Lists	Yes		No		
	No.	%	No.	%	
Practice					
1. Do you use a surgical mask for all dental procedures?	388	1	0	0	
2. Do you use respirators (e.g., N95) when indicated?	232	0.60	156	0 40	
3. Do you use a face shield?	173	0.45	215	0.55	
4. Do you always use the full PPE inspit of the case simplicity?	276	71.1 %	112	28.8 %	
5. In vaccinated patients you stay use full PPE?	132	34 %	256	65.9 %	
6. When you are vaccinated you stay use full PPE?	237	61 %	151	39 %	
7. When the epidemic ends you stay use full PPE?	187	48.2 %	201	51.8 %	
Drawback					
8. Is it hard to make decisions while wearing the full PPE?	0	0	388	1	
9. Face shield affecting your vision?	243	62.6 %	145	37.3 %	
10. Do you feel fatigue/tired during or at the end of the dental treatment?	388	1	0	0	
11. Is your PPE impacting your clinical time?	105	0.27	283	0.73	
12. Is it hard to hear/see around you while wearing the full PPE?	197	0.51	191	0.49	

Table 3: Spearman test correlations between the demographical Informations and the questions Questions (1, 8, 10) are omitted from the tables as the all responses are the same for all Participants

		Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q9	Q11	Q12
	CC.	.805**	.828**	.798**	.834**	.816**	.804**	.786**	.810**	.810**
Age	Sig.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
C 1	CC.	.974**	.755**	.756**	.605**	.812**	.912**	.510**	.855**	.855**
Gender	Sig.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
0 1:0 ::	CC.	.855**	.796**	.794**	.801**	.803**	.864**	.773**	.810**	.810**
Qualification	Sig.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
VV11	CC.	.774**	.892**	.718**	.771**	.872**	.750**	.705**	.846**	.846**
Workplace	Sig.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
Experience	CC.	.743**	.838**	.719**	.832**	.809**	.726**	.702**	.791**	.791**
	Sig.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

^{**}CC. = Coefficient Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). Sig. = p value is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Statistical Analysis and Correlation

Spearman test for correlations between the demographical Informations and the questions are highlighted in Table three, questions (1, 8 and 10) are excluded from this test as all the answers are the same. The test shows significant correlation between the demographical data and the questions (Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)).

In order to show differences in practice, experiences and dentist's opinions; Kruskal-Wallis H Test are used as comparison between the qualifications group and experience as well as. Table Four focus on the difference between question's answers according to qualification (Kruskal-Wallis H Test). The result illustrated the preference related to the rotators as first group (Significance result in 9 questions) followed by the branch certificate group. On the other side specialist and general practitioners show no significant differences.

Kruskal-Wallis H Test is used as comparison between the experiences too. Table Five express the difference between question's answers according to experience (number of years work)

by Kruskal-Wallis H Test. The result illustrated the preference related to the periods ranged from 1-10 years as first group. On the contrary long periods of work (20 years) show no significant differences.

Discussion

The author's goal in writing this article was to examine and appraise the practice and skills of dentists using various reviews of their training and work history, including the effects of challenges in drawback for use if present. The list of questioners is set up to help the dentists of the Nineveh Health Directorate, who all have different qualifications. Three sections, totally involve twelve questions. Qualifications, work experience, and places of employment are included in the first section which assesses demographic information. Males aged between 36-40 years old are the highest group considering that most of the dentists working in the specialized centers more than other institutions.

Authors in this article thought that qualifications can affect positively the decision for stay using PPE even after the epidemic. In the hierarchy of dentist's work starting to be intern for one year, then act as general

 Table 4: Difference between Question's Answers According to Qualification.

	Qualification	N	Mean Rank	Kruskal-Wallis H	Asymp. Sig.	
Q1	Specialist	113	116.50			
	General P.	129	131.54	348.727	0.000	
	branch practitioner	59	310.50			
	Rotator	87	310.50			
Q2	Specialist	113	194.50			
	General P.	129	194.50	0.000	1.000	
	branch practitioner	59	194.50			
	Rotator	87	194.50			
	Specialist	113	87.00			
Q3	General P.	129	190.77	257.441	0.000	
23	branch practitioner	59	281.00	237.441	0.000	
	Rotator	87	281.00			
	Specialist	113	138.50			
Q4	General P.	129	138.50	317.019	0.000	
7 4	branch practitioner	59	220.70	317.019	0.000	
	Rotator	87	332.50			
	Specialist	113	66.50			
25	General P.	129	231.93	215 000	0.000	
Q5	branch practitioner	59	260.50	315.008		
	Rotator	87	260.50			
	Specialist	113	119.00			
26	General P.	129	126.52	266.024	0.000	
Q6	branch practitioner	59	313.00	366.834		
	Rotator	87	313.00			
	Specialist	113	94.00			
	General P.	129	176.71			
Q 7	branch practitioner	59	288.00	260.960	0.000	
	Rotator	87	288.00			
	Specialist	113	194.50		1.000	
	General P.	129	194.50			
Q8	branch practitioner	59	194.50	0.000		
	Rotator	87	194.50			
	Specialist	113	122.50		0.000	
	General P.	129	122.50	378.574		
Q9	branch practitioner	59	313.21			
	Rotator	87	314.27			
	Specialist	113	194.50			
	General P.	129	194.50			
Q10	branch practitioner	59	194.50	0.000	1.000	
	Rotator	87	194.50			
Q11	Specialist	113	67.95		0.000	
	General P.	129	246.50	344.890		
	branch practitioner	59	246.50			
	Rotator	87	246.50			
	Specialist	113	99.00			
	General P.	129	166.67			
Q12	branch practitioner	59	293.00	270.065	0.000	
		87				
	Rotator	0/	293.00			

(Kruskal-Wallis H Test)

Oral Health Dental Sci, 2022 Volume 6 | Issue 2 | 5 of 8

Table 5: Difference between Question's Answers According to Periods of Work (Experience) (Kruskal-Wallis H Test)

Ranks			VI1 W-11:- II	A C!-		
	Experience	N	Mean Rank	Kruskal-Wallis H	Asymp. Sig.	
	More than 20 Y	147	116.50		0.000	
Q1	10-20Y	165	210.56	216.016		
	1-10Y	76	310.50			
Q2	More than 20 Y	147	194.50			
	10-20Y	165	194.50	0.000	1.000	
	1-10Y	76	194.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	87.00		0.000	
Q3	10-20Y	165	250.43	298.578		
	1-10Y	76	281.00			
	More than 20 Y	147	138.50			
Q4	10-20Y	165	180.83	0.000	1.000	
	1-10Y	76	332.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	86.30			
Q5	10-20Y	165	260.50	327.148	0.000	
	1-10Y	76	260.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	119.00			
Q6	10-20Y	165	207.18	215.353	0.000	
	1-10Y	76	313.00			
	More than 20 Y	147	94.00		0.000	
Q7	10-20Y	165	240.97	265.943		
	1-10Y	76	288.00			
	More than 20 Y	147	194.50			
Q8	10-20Y	165	194.50	0.000	1.000	
	1-10Y	76	194.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	122.50			
Q9	10-20Y	165	203.63	211.218	0.000	
	1-10Y	76	313.95			
	More than 20 Y	147	194.50			
Q10	10-20Y	165	194.50	0.000	1.000	
	1-10Y	76	194.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	109.25			
Q11	10-20Y	165	246.50	232.341	0.000	
	1-10Y	76	246.50			
	More than 20 Y	147	99.00			
Q12	10-20Y	165	234.21	247.932	0.000	
	1-10Y	76	293.00			

dental practitioner then either be as branch practitioners or dental specialists; with no argument; they all will varied in their experience and skills accordingly authors emphasize that the qualifications are distinguish answers from answers with experience.

Although everyone agrees to wear gloves and a mask regardless of the kind, opinions on whether to wear all of the PPE differ in practice (60–70%). The outcome of the vaccine differed depending on whether or not full PPE was worn. This concept may be a reflection of the challenges dentists face during treating patients while wearing complete protective equipment. All dentists in this study agreed were the biggest negative of doing so (100%). Yousef Khader's study of Jordanian dentists in 2020, which included a cross-sectional analysis, agreed with our findings [19].

Dentists think about the challenges to using PPE as a normal protocol in the pandemic, including sixty-two percent of the

participants believe that wearing a face shield can impair eyesight, but nobody agrees that wearing PPE makes it difficult to make decisions, and seventy-three percent reject the notion that it can affecting clinical times. According to a study done on dentists by Sulaimania's in 2020, 67–69% of the dentists think that wearing and doffing are tiresome and difficult activities [20]. PPE used for some special health worker only and other extra equipment to make dental work safer. This can be related to the fact that all dentists at risk of infection and disease transmission as well as the experts make the use of PPE as standard procedure will be continued even post the epidemic.

In a study published by Collin V highlight many risk factors, including physical exertion, an accumulation of heat and humidity, and dehydration, predispose people to heat-related sickness, which is primarily responsible for the bulk of PPE-related symptoms [17,21]. In our study 60% complain from disturbed vision with

face shield use during work more than 70% don't consider PPE use can take time in use. Researcher thought that it's a manner of tolerance more than principles needed to be able to use full PPE in all dental treatment which might need 5-6 hours' work in the day.

As well as recent dental grads might have acquired their practices through social media and other internet channels, which might be less appealing information sources for more experienced graduates [22,23]. Although the authors not include the private clinics in the survey but It's interesting to note that employees in the private sector had better habits than their counterparts in government institutions [24,25]. This might be explained by the fact that dentists in the private sector have more responsibility and are consequently more worried about the security of the clinics that support them. The fact that private-sector dentists are aware of this expectation and make an effort to stay current in order to avoid damaging their reputation in the future may also is related to the fact that people seeking dental care expect better procedures due to the high cost of the procedure.

On the other hand, dentists who work for government agencies have limited contact with patients because they work in shifts and wear PPE that meets standards set by the relevant health authorities [14].

Limitation of the Study

The comments of these dentists cannot be taken as an accurate representation of their actual practice or behavior in real life, which is a drawback of this kind of research. Additionally, the market was oversaturated with various brands from various countries as a result of the growing demand for PPE, which could have had an impact on dentists' opinions toward PPE. In addition the effect of many infection control programme which applied by the Nineveh Health Directorate should also estimate between the dentists.

Suggestion

It is suggested that more research be done on the differences between the duties and responsibilities of dentists who work in private practices and those who work for the government, as well as the effect of years of experience on how dentists use and feel about personal protective equipment (PPE). Comparison to be done between different levels or branches of nursing staff also suggested. Comparison between the health workers before and after pandemic training program should be evaluated too.

Conclusions

On the whole, dentists in the current study are well-versed in PPE for COVID-19 protection. However, it was found that in the majority of the PPE questions, practicing the PPE are crucial for rotators and general practitioners. Therefore, raising dentists' understanding of PPE may improve their belief in it, increase their usage of it, and help them adhere to rules, as well as positively affect their desire to treat infected patients, reduce absenteeism, and reduce their own transfer of infection and disease.

References

- Passarelli PC, Lopez MA, Mastandrea Bonaviri GN, et al. Taste and smell as chemosensory dysfunctions in COVID-19 infection. American Journal of Dentistry. 2020; 33: 135-137.
- Banakar M, Bagheri Lankarani K, Jafarpour D, et al. COVID-19 transmission risk and protective protocols in dentistry: a systematic review. BMC Oral Health. 2020; 20: 275.
- 3. Gao Z, Xu Y, Sun C, et al. A systematic review of asymptomatic infections with COVID-19. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection. 2021; 54; 12-16.
- 4. Zhao H, Lu X, Deng Y, et al. COVID-19: asymptomatic carrier transmission is an underestimated problem. Epidemiology and Infection. 2020; 148: e116.
- 5. Goldberg SA, Pu CT, Thompson RW, et al. Asymptomatic Spread of COVID-19 in 97 Patients at a Skilled Nursing Facility. In Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2020; 21: 980-981.
- Banakar M, Bagheri Lankarani K, Jafarpour D, et al. COVID-19 transmission risk and protective protocols in dentistry: a systematic review. BMC Oral Health. 2020; 20: 275.
- 7. Coulthard P, Thomson P, Dave M, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic and dentistry: the clinical, legal and economic consequences part 2: consequences of withholding dental care. Br Dent J. 2020; 229: 801-815.
- 8. https://oralhealth. cochrane.org/news/recommendations-re-opening-dental-services-rapidreview-international-sources.
- 9. Hon CY, Gamage B, Bryce EA, et al. Personal protective equipment in health care: can online infection control courses transfer practise and improve proper selection and use. American Journal of Infection Control. 2008; 36: e33-37.
- Mitchell R, Ogunremi T, Astrakianakis G, et al. Impact of the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic on Canadian health care workers: a survey on vaccination, illness, absenteeism, and personal protective equipment. American Journal of Infection Control. 2012; 40: 611-616.
- 11. Cheung K, Chan CK, Chang MY, et al. Predictors for compliance of standard precautions among nursing students. American Journal of Infection Control. 2015; 43: 729-734.
- 12. Deana NF, Seiffert A, Aravena-Rivas Y, et al. Recommendations for Safe Dental Care: A Systematic Review of Clinical Practice Guidelines in the First Year of the COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021; 18: 10059.
- 13. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan: China. Lancet. 2020; 395: 497-506.
- 14. Ren Y, Feng C, Rasubala L, et al. Risk for dental healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 global pandemic: an evidencebased assessment. J Dent. 2020; 101: 103434.

- 15. Mahendran K, Patel S, Sproat C. Psychosocial effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on staff in a dental teaching hospital. Br Dent J. 2020; 229: 127-132.
- 16. Phan LT, Maita D, Mortiz DC, et al. Personal protective equipment doffing practices of healthcare workers. J Occup Environ Hyg. 2019; 16: 575-581.
- 17. Dhandapani M, Kaur S, Das K, et al. Enhancing the safety of frontline healthcare workers during coronavirus disease: a novel real-time remote audiovisual aided doffing approach. Infect Dis. 2021; 53: 145-147.
- 18. Collin V, E OS, Whitehead P. Psychological distress and the perceived impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on UK dentists during a national lockdown. Br Dent J. 2021; 1: 1-8.
- Mahdee AF, Gul SS, Abdulkareem AA, et al. Anxiety, practice modification, and economic impact among iraqi dentists during the COVID-19 outbreak. Front Med. 2020; 7: 595028.
- 20. Khader Y, Al Nsour M, Al-Batayneh OB, et al. Dentists' Awareness, Perception, and Attitude Regarding COVID-19 and Infection Control: Cross-Sectional Study Among Jordanian Dentists. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance.

- 2020; 6: e18798.
- Salih Hosoglu, Mo Barzinji. COVID-19 infection among dentists in Iraqi Kurdistan Region Coronavirus Pandemic. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2022; 16: 1439-1444.
- 22. Chasib NH, Alshami ML, Gul SS, et al. Dentists' Practices and Attitudes Toward Using Personal Protection Equipment and Associated Drawbacks and Cost Implications During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Frontiers in Public Health. 2021; 9: 770164.
- 23. Gershon RRM, Qureshi KA, Pogorzelska M, et al. Non-hospital based registered nurses and the risk of blood borne pathogen exposure. Industrial Health. 2007; 45: 695-704.
- 24. Hu X, Zhang Z, Li N, et al. Self-reported use of personal protective equipment among Chinese critical care clinicians during 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic. PloS One. 2012; 7: e44723.
- 25. Lami F, Rashak HA, Khaleel HA, et al. Iraq experience in handling the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications of public health challenges and lessons learned for future epidemic preparedness planning. J Public Health. 2021; 43: iii19-iii28.