

Is “Nothing” the Right Starting Point Towards “Everything”?

Fabien DE MEESTER*

Department of PsychoPhysics, TsimTsoum Institute, Poland.

***Correspondence:**

Fabien DE MEESTER, Department of PsychoPhysics, TsimTsoum Institute, Krakow, Poland, Tel: +48 579 731 386.

Received: 26 Aug 2025; Accepted: 28 Sep 2025; Published: 10 Oct 2025


Citation: DE MEESTER F. Is “Nothing” the Right Starting Point Towards “Everything”?. Int J Psychiatr Res 2025; 8(5): 1-2.

Fibonacci/pre-Darwin Approach to 0 ± 0 as “Nothing \pm AntiNothing” (From Nothing \pm AntiNothing to Fibonacci pre-Series to TsimTsoum/YinYang to Everything)

All 9 (3x3) Yin/Yang Solfeggio frequencies (174/825.285/714.396/693.417/582.528/471.639/369.741/258.852/147.963/936Hz) = $0:9^r$

Self-instructed TsimTsoum/YinYang Program

(Fibonacci) $\Sigma(911235843718988764156281)=117/9^r$



0 is defined as Nothing \pm AntiNothing, e.g. 1-1 with no Δ (phase), i.e. Spin \pm AntiSpin.

A photon is defined as Nothing/AntiNothing, e.g. 1/-1 with a 180° Δ (phase) \rightarrow time/space, i.e. Spin/AntiSpin.

$$235(1^r)+764(8^r)=437(5^r)+562(4^r)=999=0:9^r, 235-532=437-734=764-467=562-265=0:9^r$$

The most single simplest revolving/vortex mathematical representation of “0” as per the existential condition of our Galaxy/Universe and all it contains conceptualized here as the Fibonacci Series self-instructed YY/TT program: (i) self-annihilating resonance between “Nothing” & “AntiNothing” ($0 \leftrightarrow 1-1$), (ii) self-annihilating notions of “Nothing” & “AntiNothing” ($10-1 \leftrightarrow -101$ & $111 \leftrightarrow -1-1-1$), (iii) self-centrism ($\Delta\Phi: 0:9^r \leftrightarrow 4 \times 3 \times 30^\circ$ as isosceles triangles). Note that such conceptual math representation of “0” spontaneously exhibits a pro-Electro(E)-Magnetic(B) field for Light (E/B: 10-1/111) in time/space with a plane $\Delta(E/B): 90^\circ$, while *pro*-Matter (174), -Electromagnetism (825), -Charge (285), -Gravity (714), appear unresolved/degenerated as their respective *pre*-Concepts, in the absence of time/space.

Humans have a hard time trying to conceptualize the notion of “Nothing” in a pseudo-physical sense of the term, i.e. in the absence of time/space, light, mass, charge, electromagnetism, gravity. And, would they only attempt at imagining it, then they would most probably come up with the Question of *who* invented “Nothing”. It is like any sort of seemingly sound answer constantly rebounds in

circles upon precedence. However, if “Nothing” indeed revolves in circles [1], then the notion of a begining/ending does not even mean anything. Problem solved. And if its revolution is faster than the speed of light, then “Nothing” remains undetectable [2] in the “Everything” scenario. *The big Question therefore comes down to finding out the hidden Evidence that – indeed – “Nothing”*

revolves in circles at a speed faster than light. Mathematically [3], the challenge resides in expressing the notion of “Nothing” which for that purpose must be cancelled out by an “AntiNothing” in a way that only contains self- & mutually-annihilating math terms, while sharing the common property of being self-centric, *i.e.* nihil/naught (“0±0”). In addition, those terms must be able to explain the Universe as we know/experience it, *i.e.* “Everything” (“0:9”), once released in space/time. A pre-Darwinian backwards approach seems appropriate to initiate such imaginative syntropic process because no matter how uncomfortable it may become scientifically-speaking, it must remain an evolutive (rather than evolutionary) process in absence of – linear as opposed to circular – time/space if only to eventually answer the Question of the origin, *i.e.* *who* invented “Nothing”.

Remember

It is most probably not quintessential neither productive to answer/debate the Question of *who* invented “Nothing” since all Evidence points in the direction of its “AntiNothing” annihilation/non-existential Nature.

In other words, all indicate that “Nothing” is not needed, *per se*. Mathematics offers an answer/solution as “0±0” that makes sense for a Galaxy/Universe (“0:9”) as we know/experience it. Being an alert observer/actor of that reality comes with a Societal

Responsibility though as we might more simply be the God/Devil we were “so desperately” looking for. Not only such a modern math-derived view of the Galaxy/Universe and all it contains is more realistic, but it also is a more responsible – perhaps less comfortable – view/description of the Reality we live in/with, and build upon as Humanity keeps climbing up the ladder.

Perhaps we are simply evolving as Adults...[4]

Homo *sapiens* and their 3G imprinted body/mind-ruling program may need to fade away for good though for the transition to Homo *modestis* to happen → switching on the brain towards cognition & self-consciousness as the growing need for stepping up the ladder becomes ever more essential & urgent.

References

1. Spin & AntiSpin. Mathematically/geometrically represented here as opposed isosceles triangles (10-1↔-101 & 111↔-1-1-1).
2. Did Sciences mean irrelevant? Or is it only Physics that deserves a gentle touch of “Humility” towards “Humanity”?
3. Vortex Mathematics applies here. Sounds like the modern definition of a “resonance”, right? Out of time/space...
4. Stages of Life (Carl Jung). Please, let’s read Him again. If only for taming our *damn* Homo *sapiens* “childish ego”...