Research Article ISSN 2641-4317

International Journal of Psychiatry Research

Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL): A Somatic Framework for Completing the Stress Cycle in Trauma-Related Fear

Nader Butto, MD*

Founder, Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM), Herzelia, Israel.

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Nader Butto, Founder, Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM), Herzelia, Israel.

Received: 21 Jan 2026; **Accepted:** 12 Mar 2026; **Published:** 20 Mar 2026

Citation: Butto N. Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL): A Somatic Framework for Completing the Stress Cycle in Trauma-Related Fear. Int J Psychiatr Res. 2026; 9(2); 1-10.

ABSTRACT

Background: *Trauma-related fear frequently persists despite cognitive insight, suggesting that emotional distress cannot be explained solely by conscious psychological processes. Increasing evidence from neuroscience and psychophysiology indicates that traumatic experiences may become encoded in implicit neural and autonomic circuits, producing persistent physiological activation even when the original threat is no longer present.*

Objective: *This article presents the theoretical foundations of Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL), a structured somatic method developed within the Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM) framework to address persistent fear responses associated with incomplete stress-cycle processing.*

Theoretical Framework: *Within the UIM model, emotional disturbances are understood as disruptions of coherence between physiological regulation, psychological interpretation, and informational organization of the human system. The model integrates a three-layer architecture of the psyche—animal soul, human soul, and guiding spirit—with a cyclical model of stress regulation consisting of excitation, expansion, contraction, and relaxation phases. When the defensive response is interrupted, the organism may remain partially fixed within a contraction phase, leading to chronic fear responses.*

Method Overview: *FEEL translates this conceptual framework into a clinical protocol consisting of three phases: preparation and controlled activation, identification of the bodily "emotional address," and targeted somatic engagement followed by stabilization. The method aims to facilitate completion of unresolved defensive responses and restore autonomic regulation.*

Conclusion: *FEEL provides a structured somatic framework that integrates stress physiology, trauma research, and the Unified Integrative Medicine model of psyche and health. Future research should evaluate the clinical efficacy of the method and investigate physiological correlates such as heart rate variability and interoceptive regulation to further clarify its mechanisms of action.*

Keywords

Trauma, Somatic therapy, Stress physiology, Autonomic regulation, Anxiety disorders, FEEL, Unified Integrative Medicine.

Introduction

Mental health disorders associated with fear, including anxiety disorders, panic disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and specific phobias, represent a significant global health burden. Epidemiological studies estimate that anxiety disorders alone affect hundreds of millions of individuals worldwide and constitute one of the leading causes of disability and reduced quality of life [1,2]. Despite advances in pharmacological and

psychotherapeutic treatments, a substantial proportion of patients continue to experience persistent symptoms, particularly in the form of recurring physiological fear responses that remain resistant to purely cognitive interventions.

One of the most frequently reported clinical observations is the discrepancy between cognitive understanding and physiological reaction. Patients often acknowledge that the threat associated with their fear is no longer present, yet their bodies continue to respond automatically with symptoms such as tachycardia, muscle tension, shortness of breath, and hypervigilance. This phenomenon suggests that trauma-related fear cannot be fully understood as a purely cognitive process but must also be examined as an embodied physiological response [3-5].

Contemporary neuroscience supports this view by demonstrating that emotionally intense experiences are encoded not only in explicit narrative memory but also in implicit neural circuits involving the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, and brainstem [6]. These structures play a central role in the rapid detection of threat and activation of defensive responses. When the brain perceives danger, the autonomic nervous system mobilizes the organism through sympathetic activation, preparing the body for fight-or-flight responses that increase the probability of survival [7].

Under normal circumstances, once the threat is resolved, parasympathetic mechanisms restore physiological equilibrium and the organism returns to baseline functioning. However, when the defensive response cannot be completed—because escape is impossible, action is inhibited, or the threat overwhelms coping capacity—the physiological activation may remain unresolved. In such cases, the organism may remain partially fixed in a defensive state, contributing to persistent anxiety, panic attacks, and trauma-related symptoms [3,8].

Research in trauma psychology has increasingly emphasized the importance of the body in storing unresolved emotional experiences. Studies on implicit memory and somatic imprinting suggest that traumatic events may be encoded as physiological patterns that persist independently of conscious recollection [3,9]. This perspective helps explain why individuals may experience intense emotional and bodily reactions even when they cannot identify the original source of the fear.

As a result, a growing number of therapeutic approaches have begun to incorporate somatic and body-oriented techniques designed to address the physiological components of trauma. Methods such as Somatic Experiencing and Sensorimotor Psychotherapy emphasize the role of bodily awareness and autonomic regulation in trauma resolution [10,11]. These approaches are based on the premise that the body retains unfinished defensive responses and that facilitating their completion may help restore emotional balance.

Parallel to developments in trauma therapy, modern models of stress physiology have also contributed to a more comprehensive understanding of emotional regulation. Hans Selye's early work on the General Adaptation Syndrome described the organism's response to stress as a progression through phases of alarm, resistance, and exhaustion when stress remains unresolved [12]. Later research expanded this model by emphasizing the importance of autonomic flexibility and dynamic regulation between sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. Polyvagal theory, for example, highlights the role of vagal regulation in emotional resilience and social engagement [6].

More recently, advances in psychophysiology and predictive neuroscience have further clarified the mechanisms through which emotional experiences are integrated within the brain and body. Theories of interoception emphasize the importance of internal bodily awareness in emotional regulation, suggesting that the brain continuously monitors physiological states to guide behavior [13]. Predictive processing models similarly propose that the brain generates expectations about the environment and updates these predictions through incoming sensory information [14]. When prediction errors occur—such as when a feared stimulus does not produce the expected outcome—the brain may revise its threat models and modify emotional responses.

Building upon these developments, the Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM) framework proposes a systemic model that integrates physiological, psychological, and informational dimensions of human functioning [15]. Within this framework, regulation is described as a cyclical movement through four fundamental phases: excitation, expansion, contraction, and relaxation. These phases represent a general pattern observable across biological systems and emotional processes. When this cycle is completed successfully, the organism returns to equilibrium. When the cycle is interrupted, physiological activation may become stabilized within the defensive phase, leading to persistent emotional distress.

In addition to this stress-phase model, the UIM framework also introduces a structural model of the psyche based on the interaction between the brain and three informational components referred to as the animal soul, human soul, and guiding spirit [16]. In this model, the animal soul represents the regulatory layer responsible for instinctive physiological and emotional responses, the human soul corresponds to identity and conscious reasoning, and the guiding spirit relates to intuition and orientation. Psychological conflict and trauma may disrupt coherence between these layers, generating dissonance that manifests as emotional suffering and physiological dysregulation.

Previous work within this framework has also proposed a connection between unresolved psychological conflict and physical illness, suggesting that persistent emotional dissonance may alter regulatory processes within the body and contribute to disease development [17]. From this perspective, health can be understood as a state of coherence between physiological processes, psychological meaning, and informational organization.

Several therapeutic methods have been developed within the UIM framework to restore coherence and resolve emotional disturbances.

One such approach is Energy Washout (EWO), a method designed to release emotional blockages and restore the flow of vital energy through breathing techniques, somatic stimulation, and relaxation processes [18]. While EWO aims to promote global energetic balance, clinical practice revealed the need for a more targeted intervention capable of addressing specific fear patterns directly.

Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL) was developed to address this need. FEEL is a structured somatic method designed to facilitate completion of unresolved stress responses through controlled emotional activation, localization of bodily sensation, and targeted somatic engagement. By identifying the physiological "address" of fear within the body and engaging it in a regulated context, the method seeks to enable the organism to complete the defensive sequence that remained unfinished during the original experience.

The objective of the present article is to present the theoretical foundations and clinical rationale of the FEEL method within the broader context of trauma research, stress physiology, and the Unified Integrative Medicine framework. Specifically, this article aims to (1) describe the conceptual model linking psychological conflict, stress phases, and embodied fear; (2) explain how FEEL operates as a structured somatic intervention designed to facilitate completion of unresolved stress responses; and (3) propose testable hypotheses regarding the physiological mechanisms and clinical implications of this approach.

By situating FEEL within established research on trauma, autonomic regulation, and mind–body interaction, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing development of integrative models of mental health capable of addressing both the psychological and physiological dimensions of human suffering.

Theoretical Foundations of FEEL

Architecture of the Psyche within the Unified Integrative Medicine Framework

A central premise underlying the FEEL method is that emotional disturbances cannot be fully understood through purely psychological or neurobiological perspectives alone. Instead, they emerge from the interaction of multiple layers of human organization that include physiological regulation, cognitive interpretation, and informational or energetic processes.

Within the Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM) framework, the psyche is described as the functional outcome of the interaction between the brain and a broader informational field referred to as the soul [16]. Rather than viewing the soul as a metaphysical concept, this model treats it as an organizing informational structure that integrates physiological, psychological, and behavioral processes within the living organism.

According to this framework, the soul is composed of three interacting components: the **animal soul**, the **human soul**, and the **guiding spirit** [16]. Each of these components corresponds to a distinct functional layer within the human system.

The **animal soul** represents the instinctive regulatory layer responsible for survival responses and physiological homeostasis. It is associated with the autonomic nervous system, endocrine regulation, emotional reactivity, and instinctive behavior. This layer governs fundamental processes such as breathing, circulation, digestion, and reflexive defensive responses. From a psychological perspective, it corresponds to the instinctual dimension of the mind, similar to what classical psychoanalytic theory described as the id.

The **human soul** corresponds to the layer of conscious identity and reasoning. It is responsible for reflective thought, language, moral reasoning, and the construction of personal narrative. Interaction between this informational layer and the brain produces the conscious mind and the capacity for deliberate behavioral regulation.

The **guiding spirit** represents the orienting dimension of the human system, associated with intuition, creativity, ethical orientation, and the search for meaning. This layer influences long-term decision-making and the individual's sense of purpose and direction.

Within this framework, the psyche can therefore be understood as the dynamic product of interactions between these informational layers and neural processing within the brain. Emotional disturbances may arise when coherence between these layers becomes disrupted, leading to dissonance between physiological responses, psychological interpretation, and existential orientation.

Psychological Conflict and the Mind–Body Connection

The relationship between psychological conflict and physiological disturbance has long been recognized in psychosomatic medicine. Within the UIM model, unresolved psychological conflicts are proposed to generate interference within the informational field that regulates physiological processes [17]. When this interference persists, it may manifest as emotional distress, behavioral dysfunction, or somatic symptoms.

This model suggests that emotional conflicts are not confined to cognitive processes but involve systemic changes in the organism's regulatory dynamics. The resulting disturbance may alter neural activity, autonomic balance, endocrine signaling, and muscular tone. Over time, the accumulation of such disturbances may contribute to chronic stress patterns and increased vulnerability to disease.

Importantly, this perspective does not reduce disease to psychological causes alone. Rather, it proposes that emotional conflict interacts with biological predispositions, environmental influences, and lifestyle factors to influence health outcomes. This integrative view aligns with contemporary biopsychosocial models of medicine, which emphasize the interaction between psychological and physiological processes in disease development.

Within this context, emotional experiences are viewed as complex events involving simultaneous changes in cognition,

physiology, and energetic organization. When emotional responses are processed and resolved effectively, the organism returns to equilibrium. When they remain unresolved, the resulting dissonance may persist within the regulatory systems of the body.

The Four Phases of Life and Stress Regulation

To describe the dynamics of emotional activation and recovery, the UIM framework introduces a cyclical model consisting of four fundamental phases: **excitation, expansion, contraction, and relaxation** [8]. These phases represent a universal pattern observed across biological systems and correspond to the oscillatory processes that maintain homeostasis in living organisms.

The **excitation phase** represents the initial mobilization of energy in response to a stimulus. At the physiological level, this phase resembles the depolarization phase of neuronal activation and the early stages of sympathetic nervous system engagement.

The **expansion phase** involves the distribution of energy and resources throughout the organism. During this stage, physiological systems increase circulation, oxygenation, and sensory awareness in preparation for potential action.

The **contraction phase** represents the moment of focused defensive effort. In situations of threat, this phase corresponds to the fight-or-flight response mediated primarily by sympathetic activation.

Finally, the **relaxation phase** represents the restoration of equilibrium following resolution of the stimulus. During this phase, parasympathetic processes dominate, allowing physiological systems to recover and return to baseline functioning.

When the four phases occur sequentially and without interruption, the organism maintains adaptive flexibility and resilience. However, when the cycle is interrupted—particularly during the contraction phase—the defensive response may remain incomplete. In such cases, the organism may remain partially locked in a state of heightened activation.

Graded Fear Responses

Within the same framework, emotional responses to stress are conceptualized as graded intensities corresponding to different stages of the stress cycle [13].

Mild activation produces **anxiety**, corresponding to the excitation phase and reflecting anticipatory alertness.

Moderate activation produces **fear**, corresponding to the expansion phase in which the organism prepares for possible threat.

More intense activation produces **panic**, reflecting excessive contraction and activation of strong defensive responses.

Finally, extreme activation may result in **horror or shock**, corresponding to collapse or freezing responses in which the organism becomes overwhelmed by the stimulus.

This graded model helps explain the wide variation in emotional responses observed across individuals. The intensity of fear depends not only on the external stimulus but also on the organism's available coping capacity and vitality.

Implications for Trauma and Emotional Regulation

Within this theoretical framework, trauma can be understood as a condition in which the stress cycle fails to complete successfully. Instead of progressing through contraction toward relaxation, the organism remains partially fixed within a defensive phase.

This incomplete processing of the stress response provides the conceptual basis for the FEEL method. By identifying the bodily location associated with the unresolved emotional activation and engaging it in a controlled therapeutic context, FEEL aims to facilitate completion of the interrupted defensive sequence and restore physiological coherence.

In this way, the method translates the theoretical principles of the UIM framework into a practical therapeutic intervention designed to address the embodied component of emotional distress.

The following section describes the structure and clinical protocol of the FEEL method and explains how these theoretical principles are applied in therapeutic practice.

The FEEL Method: Structure and Clinical Protocol

Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL) was developed as a structured somatic intervention designed to address persistent fear patterns that remain unresolved within the organism's regulatory systems. The method translates the theoretical framework described in the previous sections into a practical therapeutic process that targets the physiological imprint of incomplete stress responses.

Within the Unified Integrative Medicine framework, emotional disturbances are viewed as disruptions of coherence between physiological regulation, psychological interpretation, and informational organization [15-17]. When an emotionally overwhelming event interrupts the natural stress cycle, the defensive response may remain incomplete. Instead of progressing toward relaxation, the organism may remain partially fixed in the contraction phase of the stress response. This fixation can manifest as persistent anxiety, panic reactions, phobias, or trauma-related symptoms.

The FEEL method aims to facilitate completion of this interrupted stress cycle through a structured sequence of therapeutic steps. The protocol is organized into three primary phases: **preparation and controlled activation**, **somatic engagement**, and **stabilization and integration**.

Phase I: Preparation and Controlled Activation

The first phase of the FEEL protocol focuses on establishing a safe therapeutic environment and identifying the specific fear pattern

to be addressed. Emotional activation must occur within a context of safety in order to avoid re-traumatization or excessive distress. The therapist therefore begins by assessing the patient's emotional stability, ensuring that the individual is able to engage the feared material without becoming overwhelmed.

Once readiness is established, the patient is invited to identify a specific situation, stimulus, or memory associated with the fear response. Unlike diffuse anxiety, many emotional patterns are linked to identifiable triggers such as confined spaces, social exposure, medical procedures, or bodily sensations.

The feared situation is then gently reactivated through imagination or recollection. The purpose of this step is not to reproduce the traumatic experience in its entirety but to evoke the emotional pattern sufficiently for therapeutic engagement. This controlled activation reopens the defensive response while maintaining a regulated therapeutic context.

During this phase the patient is asked to quantify the intensity of the fear using a numerical scale ranging from zero to ten. This measurement establishes a baseline level of activation and provides a reference point for evaluating changes throughout the session.

Identification of the Emotional Address

A distinctive element of the FEEL method is the identification of the bodily location where the fear response is experienced most strongly. Patients are asked to focus attention inward and describe where the emotional sensation appears in the body.

In most cases individuals can identify a specific region such as the chest, throat, abdomen, or head. This location is referred to as the **emotional address**. Within the UIM model, the emotional address represents the physiological site where the unresolved stress response has become encoded.

The emotional address reflects the interaction between autonomic activation, muscular contraction, and sensory perception. Identifying this location allows the therapist to engage the embodied component of the fear response rather than addressing the experience solely at the level of cognition.

Patients may also be invited to describe qualitative aspects of the sensation such as pressure, density, temperature, or color. These descriptors enhance interoceptive awareness and help clarify the structure of the emotional pattern without imposing interpretive meaning.

Phase II: Somatic Engagement

The second phase of the FEEL protocol involves targeted somatic engagement of the emotional address. While the fear pattern remains activated in the patient's awareness, gentle tactile stimulation or guided self-contact is applied to the identified region.

This engagement introduces sensory input into an active emotional circuit. From a neurophysiological perspective, tactile stimulation activates afferent sensory pathways that communicate with central regulatory networks. When this new sensory information occurs simultaneously with emotional activation, it may alter the processing of the fear pattern.

During this phase the therapist repeatedly reassesses the intensity of the fear response using the numerical scale. In many cases a gradual reduction in intensity occurs as the contraction associated with the emotional address softens. The process proceeds iteratively through cycles of activation, engagement, and reassessment.

Occasionally the reduction in fear intensity occurs rapidly, accompanied by sensations of warmth, relaxation, or emotional release. In other cases the change is gradual and may require repeated sessions to achieve stable reduction in the emotional response.

Completion of the Stress Cycle

Within the stress-phase model described earlier, this process can be interpreted as facilitating completion of the interrupted contraction phase of the stress response [13]. When the organism is able to experience activation without overwhelming threat, the defensive sequence may progress toward relaxation.

Completion of the stress cycle reduces the physiological charge associated with the fear stimulus. As the autonomic nervous system shifts from sympathetic dominance toward balanced regulation, the organism regains flexibility in responding to future stimuli.

Importantly, the goal of FEEL is not suppression of emotional activation but restoration of the natural rhythm of activation and recovery that characterizes healthy stress responses.

Phase III: Stabilization and Integration

Once the intensity of the fear response decreases significantly, the final phase of the protocol focuses on stabilization. The therapist invites the patient to reimagine the original stimulus or scenario to determine whether the fear response reappears.

If the physiological reaction remains minimal, the new pattern is considered stabilized. At this stage the patient may engage in breathing exercises or grounding techniques that reinforce parasympathetic activation and promote integration of the experience.

Cognitive reflection may also occur during this phase, allowing the patient to recognize the difference between the previous fear response and the current experience. In many cases the memory of the event remains accessible but no longer triggers intense physiological activation.

Clinical Context and Integration

Within the broader UIM framework, FEEL represents a focused intervention designed to address specific emotional imprints within the organism's regulatory system. It can be used independently or in combination with other therapeutic approaches such as cognitive therapy, trauma-informed psychotherapy, or integrative regulatory

methods such as Energy Washout.

The following section explores potential mechanisms through which the FEEL method may influence emotional regulation, drawing on current knowledge from neuroscience and psychophysiology.

Mechanisms of Action

Although FEEL emerged from clinical observation within the Unified Integrative Medicine framework, several plausible mechanisms may explain how the method influences emotional regulation. These mechanisms can be examined in light of current knowledge from neuroscience, psychophysiology, and trauma research. While the present article does not provide empirical outcome data, the following hypotheses offer a conceptual bridge between the FEEL protocol and established scientific models of stress and emotional processing.

Completion of Interrupted Defensive Responses

A central premise of the FEEL method is that persistent fear reflects an incomplete defensive response. When the organism perceives threat, the nervous system mobilizes energy through sympathetic activation to prepare for fight-or-flight reactions. If the threat is resolved successfully, parasympathetic processes restore equilibrium and the organism returns to baseline regulation [7].

However, when the defensive response cannot be completed—because escape is blocked, the individual is overwhelmed, or the situation resolves abruptly—the activation may remain unresolved. The organism may therefore remain partially fixed within a defensive phase of the stress cycle. Over time this incomplete response can become stabilized as a chronic pattern of autonomic activation.

Within the stress-phase framework described earlier, FEEL aims to facilitate completion of this interrupted sequence. By reactivating the emotional pattern within a safe therapeutic context and guiding the organism through controlled engagement, the method may allow the defensive response to progress toward relaxation rather than remaining fixed in contraction.

Interoceptive Awareness and Emotional Regulation

Another possible mechanism involves the role of interoception in emotional regulation. Interoception refers to the perception of internal bodily states and is mediated primarily by neural pathways connecting visceral organs to the insular cortex [13]. Research suggests that emotional awareness is closely linked to the brain's ability to monitor and interpret internal physiological signals.

During the FEEL process, patients are guided to identify the bodily "address" of their fear. This focus on localized sensation increases interoceptive awareness and may strengthen communication between sensory input and regulatory networks within the brain. Improved interoceptive processing may help individuals reinterpret bodily signals more accurately and reduce catastrophic interpretations of internal sensations.

Somatic Engagement and Memory Reconsolidation

The tactile engagement used in FEEL may also influence emotional memory processes. Contemporary neuroscience suggests that memories are not permanently fixed but become temporarily malleable when reactivated. During this reconsolidation window, new information can modify previously stored emotional associations.

When the fear response is activated and the emotional address is engaged through somatic stimulation, the nervous system receives new sensory input while the original memory trace remains active. This combination may create conditions that allow the emotional memory to be updated. Instead of reinforcing the association between the stimulus and threat, the organism experiences the activation in a safe context, potentially weakening the original defensive pattern.

Autonomic Recalibration

FEEL may also influence the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic regulation. Chronic anxiety and trauma-related disorders are frequently associated with persistent sympathetic activation and reduced parasympathetic flexibility. Reduced heart rate variability (HRV), for example, has been observed in individuals with chronic stress and anxiety disorders [11].

By guiding the organism through cycles of controlled activation followed by relaxation, FEEL may promote recalibration of autonomic regulation. As contraction resolves and parasympathetic processes regain influence, physiological flexibility may increase. Improved autonomic balance could contribute to the reduction of anxiety symptoms and greater resilience in response to future stressors.

Prediction Error and Updating of Threat Models

Recent theories in neuroscience suggest that the brain continuously generates predictions about potential threats and updates these predictions based on sensory input. According to predictive processing models, emotional responses occur when incoming sensory signals confirm or contradict the brain's expectations [14].

In trauma-related fear, the brain may predict danger whenever certain internal sensations or external cues appear. During a FEEL session, the patient experiences activation of the fear response while simultaneously receiving signals of safety through therapeutic support and somatic engagement. This mismatch between predicted danger and experienced safety may generate prediction errors that prompt the brain to revise its internal threat model.

Over repeated cycles, the association between the stimulus and the defensive response may weaken, allowing the organism to respond to similar situations with greater flexibility.

Integration with the Unified Integrative Medicine Model

Within the broader UIM framework, these physiological processes correspond to restoration of coherence within the human system.

Emotional conflict and trauma are conceptualized as disruptions in the flow of energy and information between physiological, psychological, and informational layers. When the stress cycle completes and autonomic balance is restored, coherence between these layers may gradually re-emerge.

In this way, FEEL can be understood as a structured method designed to guide the organism from defensive fixation toward dynamic regulation. By combining controlled activation, somatic engagement, and iterative reassessment, the method seeks to address the embodied dimension of fear that often persists despite cognitive insight.

The next section explores the clinical implications of this framework and the conditions in which the FEEL method may be most applicable.

Clinical Implications and Applications of the FEEL Method

The FEEL method was developed as a structured somatic intervention aimed at addressing fear patterns that persist despite cognitive understanding. By targeting the physiological imprint of unresolved stress responses, the method offers a complementary approach to conventional psychological treatments. Its applications are particularly relevant in conditions where fear responses are clearly identifiable and associated with specific bodily activation patterns.

Specific Phobias

Specific phobias represent one of the most direct applications of the FEEL method. In these conditions, the fear response is typically linked to a clearly identifiable stimulus, such as enclosed spaces, heights, animals, or medical procedures. Although individuals with phobias often recognize that their fear is disproportionate to the actual risk, their physiological reaction remains intense and automatic.

Within the FEEL framework, such reactions are understood as manifestations of an incomplete stress cycle associated with the original fear-inducing experience. The patient's body continues to activate the defensive pattern whenever the stimulus appears or is imagined. By reactivating the stimulus in a controlled setting, identifying the bodily location of the fear, and applying structured somatic engagement, FEEL seeks to facilitate completion of the defensive response and reduce the associated physiological activation.

Clinical observation suggests that phobias may respond relatively rapidly to this approach because the emotional pattern is frequently concentrated around a specific trigger. When the underlying contraction is resolved, the stimulus often loses its capacity to provoke intense autonomic activation.

Panic Disorder

Panic disorder presents a different clinical challenge because the fear response does not require an external trigger. Instead, panic episodes often arise spontaneously or are initiated by internal bodily sensations such as increased heart rate, dizziness, or shortness of breath. These sensations may then escalate through a feedback loop in which physiological activation and catastrophic interpretation reinforce each other.

From the perspective of the stress-phase model, panic can be interpreted as excessive contraction within the defensive system. The organism mobilizes as if facing immediate danger even in the absence of an identifiable threat. FEEL addresses this pattern by focusing on the bodily location of the activation during recollection of a recent panic episode. Through somatic engagement and iterative reassessment, the contraction may gradually soften, allowing the nervous system to re-establish a sense of safety in response to internal sensations.

This approach aims to reduce the association between normal physiological fluctuations and catastrophic threat interpretation, thereby weakening the cycle that perpetuates panic attacks.

Trauma and Post-Traumatic Stress

Trauma-related disorders represent another area where the FEEL method may have clinical relevance. In traumatic experiences, the defensive response may be abruptly interrupted by overwhelming threat, helplessness, or shock. The organism may therefore remain partially fixed in a state of defensive activation long after the event has passed.

In FEEL sessions addressing trauma, activation typically begins with recall of the traumatic scenario in a carefully regulated context. As in other applications, the patient identifies the bodily location where the emotional activation is most strongly felt. Somatic engagement is then applied to facilitate gradual reduction in intensity.

The method does not seek to reproduce the traumatic experience but rather to allow the organism to complete the interrupted defensive sequence under conditions of safety. By doing so, the physiological charge associated with the memory may decrease, potentially allowing the event to be integrated as a narrative memory rather than a persistent threat signal.

Chronic Anxiety

Chronic anxiety often differs from phobias and panic disorder in that the emotional activation may be diffuse and not linked to a single identifiable stimulus. Individuals with generalized anxiety frequently experience persistent tension, hypervigilance, and somatic discomfort without a clear trigger.

Within the FEEL framework, such patterns may reflect long-term stabilization of defensive activation due to cumulative stress or unresolved psychological conflicts. In these cases, the therapist works with the most prominent bodily sensation associated with the anxiety state rather than with a specific scenario. The same structured process of activation, localization, engagement, and reassessment is applied.

Although changes may occur more gradually in these cases, repeated sessions may contribute to progressive reduction of baseline tension and improvement in emotional regulation.

Clinical Boundaries and Contraindications

While FEEL may offer a structured approach to addressing fear-related conditions, its application requires careful clinical judgment. Individuals experiencing severe psychiatric instability, active psychosis, acute suicidal ideation, or profound dissociative states may require stabilization before engaging in methods that involve emotional activation.

Similarly, medical conditions that produce symptoms resembling panic—such as cardiac arrhythmias or endocrine disorders—should be evaluated appropriately before attributing symptoms solely to psychological causes.

As with any therapeutic method, FEEL is intended to be used by trained practitioners within an appropriate clinical context. Its role is not to replace established treatments but to complement existing therapeutic approaches by addressing the somatic dimension of unresolved stress responses.

Integration with Broader Therapeutic Approaches

The FEEL method is compatible with multiple therapeutic frameworks. Cognitive and psychodynamic therapies may address narrative meaning and identity reconstruction, while somatic interventions such as FEEL can target the physiological imprint of the fear response. When integrated appropriately, these approaches may support a more comprehensive process of emotional healing.

Within the broader Unified Integrative Medicine framework, FEEL can also be used alongside methods aimed at restoring systemic balance, such as Energy Washout techniques designed to enhance overall coherence and vitality.

In this sense, FEEL is best understood not as a standalone therapy but as a focused clinical tool within a broader integrative model of health.

Limitations and Future Research

The present article introduces the theoretical foundations and clinical rationale of the Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL) method. As with any newly described therapeutic framework, it is important to recognize the limitations of the current evidence and to outline directions for future investigation. While the method is grounded in established concepts from stress physiology, trauma research, and somatic regulation, systematic empirical validation is still required.

One limitation of the present work is that it primarily describes a conceptual and clinical framework rather than reporting results from controlled clinical trials. The observations that led to the development of FEEL emerged from clinical practice and integrative medical settings, where practitioners repeatedly observed reductions in fear intensity when somatic engagement was combined with controlled emotional activation. Although such observations can provide valuable insights, they do not substitute for rigorous experimental evaluation. Future research should therefore include prospective clinical studies designed to evaluate the effectiveness and reproducibility of the method.

Another limitation relates to the complexity of trauma-related conditions. Anxiety, panic disorder, and post-traumatic stress involve multiple interacting biological, psychological, and social factors. FEEL is designed to address the physiological imprint of incomplete stress responses, but it may not fully address all dimensions of trauma on its own. For this reason, the method should be considered complementary to established therapeutic approaches rather than a replacement for them. Integrative treatment models that combine somatic, cognitive, and relational interventions may provide the most comprehensive support for individuals experiencing complex trauma.

Future empirical studies should aim to evaluate FEEL using standardized clinical outcome measures. Randomized controlled trials comparing FEEL with established treatments such as exposure therapy or cognitive-behavioral therapy would provide valuable information about its relative effectiveness. In addition, longitudinal studies could assess the durability of treatment effects over time and examine relapse rates in comparison with other therapeutic modalities.

Physiological markers may also offer important insights into the mechanisms underlying FEEL. Measures such as heart rate variability (HRV) provide objective indicators of autonomic flexibility and have been widely used in stress research. If FEEL facilitates completion of incomplete defensive responses and restores autonomic balance, measurable changes in HRV may be observed before and after treatment sessions. Similarly, bioelectrical impedance measurements such as phase angle could potentially be used as indicators of systemic vitality and physiological resilience.

Neuroimaging studies may provide further understanding of the neural mechanisms involved in the method. Functional imaging techniques could examine whether FEEL sessions influence activity in brain regions associated with emotional processing and regulation, including the amygdala, insular cortex, and prefrontal areas involved in top-down modulation of fear responses.

Another area for future research involves the role of interoception in emotional regulation. Because FEEL emphasizes the identification of the bodily “address” of fear, it may influence how individuals perceive and interpret internal bodily signals. Investigating changes in interoceptive awareness before and after treatment could help clarify how somatic engagement contributes to emotional regulation.

In addition to quantitative research, qualitative studies may also be valuable. Patient narratives describing their experiences during and after FEEL sessions could provide insights into subjective

aspects of the therapeutic process that may not be fully captured by physiological or symptom-based measures.

Finally, it is important that future research examine potential limitations and contraindications of the method. Not all patients may benefit from interventions involving emotional activation, particularly those with severe dissociative disorders or unstable psychiatric conditions. Careful screening and practitioner training are therefore essential to ensure that the method is applied safely and appropriately.

Despite these limitations, the FEEL framework offers a structured approach that integrates insights from stress physiology, trauma theory, and somatic regulation. By proposing a model that links incomplete stress cycles to persistent fear responses and providing a practical protocol for addressing these patterns, the method opens new avenues for investigation.

Further research will determine the extent to which FEEL can contribute to the evolving field of integrative approaches to trauma and emotional health.

Conclusion

The present article introduces Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation (FEEL) as a structured somatic framework for addressing persistent fear responses associated with trauma, anxiety, and panic disorders. Grounded in the Unified Integrative Medicine (UIM) model, the method integrates concepts from stress physiology, trauma research, and somatic regulation to explain how unresolved emotional experiences may become stabilized within the organism.

Within this framework, emotional disturbances are understood as manifestations of incomplete stress responses in which the natural cycle of excitation, expansion, contraction, and relaxation remains interrupted. When the defensive response is not fully completed, the organism may remain partially fixed in a state of physiological activation, contributing to chronic fear patterns and emotional dysregulation. FEEL proposes that by reactivating the emotional pattern in a controlled therapeutic context, identifying the bodily location of the fear response, and applying targeted somatic engagement, the organism may be able to complete the interrupted stress cycle and restore regulatory balance.

The FEEL method translates this conceptual model into a practical clinical protocol consisting of preparation, somatic engagement, and stabilization phases. By combining controlled activation with interoceptive awareness and tactile engagement, the method aims to influence autonomic regulation, update emotional memory, and reduce the physiological intensity associated with fear responses.

Although the framework presented here is theoretically grounded in established research on trauma, autonomic regulation, and somatic therapies, empirical evaluation of the method remains necessary. Future studies should investigate the clinical effectiveness of FEEL through controlled trials and explore potential physiological markers associated with its effects, including heart rate variability, interoceptive processing, and neuroimaging correlates of emotional regulation.

In summary, FEEL offers a conceptual and practical approach to trauma-related fear that emphasizes the embodied nature of emotional experience and the importance of completing unresolved stress responses. By integrating physiological, psychological, and informational dimensions of human functioning, the method contributes to the ongoing development of integrative models of mental health and invites further scientific investigation.

References

1. World Health Organization. World Mental Health Report: Transforming Mental Health for All. Geneva. World Health Organization; 2022.
2. Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, et al. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005; 62: 617-627.
3. Van der Kolk BA. The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma. New York: Viking. 2014.
4. Le Doux J. The Emotional Brain: The Mysterious Underpinnings of Emotional Life. New York: Simon & Schuster. 1996.
5. McEwen BS. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev. 2007; 87: 873-904.
6. Porges SW. The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, and Self-Regulation. New York: WW. Norton. 2011.
7. Cannon WB. Bodily Changes in Pain, Hunger, Fear and Rage: An Account of Recent Researches into the Function of Emotional Excitement. New York: D. Appleton & Company. 1915.
8. Levine PA. Waking the Tiger: Healing Trauma. Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books. 1997.
9. Payne P, Levine PA, Crane-Godreau MA. Somatic experiencing: using interoception and proprioception as core elements of trauma therapy. Front Psychol. 2015; 6: 93.
10. Ogden P, Minton K, Pain C. Trauma and the Body: A Sensorimotor Approach to Psychotherapy. New York: WW. Norton. 2006.
11. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. Front Public Health. 2017; 5: 258.
12. Selye H. The Stress of Life. New York: McGraw-Hill. 1956.
13. Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002; 3: 655-666.
14. Friston K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? Nat Rev Neurosci. 2010; 11: 127-138.
15. Butto N. Unified Integrative Medicine: A holistic framework

for personal growth and spiritual evolution. J Psychiatry Res Rep. 2024; 1: 1-16.

16. Butto N. Integration between psychology and spirituality: a new paradigm for the essence and the nature of the psyche. Int J Psychiatr Res. 2019; 2: 1-8.
17. Butto N. Psychological conflict and physical illness: a new mind–body model. Int J Psychiatr Res. 2019; 2: 1-10.
18. Butto N. Energy washout: a new method to achieve well-being and health. Int J Psychiatr Res. 2020; 3: 1-9.