Cancer Science & Research

Plasma Cell-free RNA PD-L1 and Survival with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy in Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Paul Walker^{1,2*}, Mahvish Muzaffar¹, Sriraksha Jayananda¹, Praveen Namireddy⁴, Nitika Sharma⁵, Teresa Parent³, Cynthia Cherry³ and Richard Lanman²

*Correspondence:

Paul R. Walker, MD, FACP, Division of Hematology/Oncology,

Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27834, USA. E-mail: walkerp@ecu.edu.

Received: 01 May 2023; Accepted: 03 Jun 2023; Published: 08 Jun 2023

¹Division of Hematology/Oncology, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, 27834 USA.

²Circulogene, Birmingham, AL, 35209 USA.

³ECU Health Medical Center, Greenville, NC, 27834 USA.

⁴Wake Med Cancer Care. Raleigh, NC 27610, USA.

⁵Cancer Treatment Centers of America, Newman, GA, 30265 USA.

Citation: Walker P, Muzaffar M, Jayananda et al. Plasma Cell-free RNA PD-L1 and Survival with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy in Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cancer Sci Res. 2023; 6(1): 1-6.

ABSTRACT

Background: Tissue programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) protein expression is predictive of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) benefit. However, tissue testing can be fraught with tissue acquisition and heterogeneity limitations. Plasma testing can overcome these limitations. However, the overall survival predictive benefit of plasma PD-L1 assays have not been well characterized.

Methods: Patients with stage IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and plasma cell free RNA PD-L1 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) expression were identified and assessed for overall survival. Sixteen patients treated with front-line ICI-based regimens were assessed and represented a real-world patient population with over half with a performance status of 2 or greater. Ten contemporaneous patients at the same institution treated with chemotherapy alone were also identified and assessed.

Results: With a median follow-up of 33 months, median overall survival was 13 months with a 30% 3-year OS for the ICI treated patients compared to a median OS of 3 months and a 10% 3- year OS for those treated with chemotherapy alone. Comparative log-rank test p-value = 0.014 and a hazard ratio 0.376 (95%-CI 0.134-1.057).

Conclusions: A plasma cell free RNA PD-L1 by PCR assay was associated with a statistically significant survival benefit from ICI-based treatment compared to chemotherapy in the first line treatment of a real-world patient population of advanced NSCLC.

Keywords

Plasma PD-L1, Predictive immune biomarker, Liquid biopsy, cfRNA.

Introduction

Tissue programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) protein expression is the recognized predictive immune biomarker of front-line immune However, as with any tissue biomarker testing, tissue PD-L1 protein testing can be fraught with tissue acquisition limitations, tissue site sampling heterogeneity, monoclonal antibody assay

checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) based therapy benefit compared to

standard chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung carcinoma

variability, pathologist interpretation variability, and imprecise predictive cut-off levels of immunohistochemical (IHC) staining [1-5].

A liquid biopsy immune biomarker predictive of ICI benefit would not be constrained by these tissue-testing limitations and could also easily allow dynamic assessment of PD-L1 expression with treatment and upon cancer recurrence and/or progression. However, prior plasma PD-L1 assays of soluble PD-L1 by enzymelinked immunosorbent assays have not been predictive of ICI benefit. Elevated levels of soluble protein PD-L1 were associated with poorer survival with ICI treatment [6,7]. Secreted PD-L1 proteins have also been shown to contain decoy PD-L1 variants as a mediator of ICI treatment resistance (8). Circulating tumor cell PD-L1 expression has also not been a helpful plasma-based immune biomarker. It has an overall poor correlation with tissue PD-L1 expression and has not been associated with predictive ICI treatment benefit [9-10].

A notable exception of an effective plasma-based immune biomarker is extracellular vesicle (EV) PD-L1 expression. An EV PD-L1 protein research assay demonstrated that the dynamic changes in the EV PD-L1 protein were predictive of ICI treatment durability. Increasing EV PD-L1 was associated with nonresponders with a decrease seen in patients with an ICI response [11]. PD-L1 mRNA expression by droplet digital PCR in plasmaderived exosomes has also demonstrated a similar dynamic change correlating with ICI response [12]. This emphasizes the potential of a plasma-based PD-L1 assay having longitudinal ICI predictive benefit, however neither PD-L1 EV assay was evaluated as a pre-treatment predictor of ICI benefit, just having a dynamic correlation with response.

mRNA PD-L1 expression is a potential predictive immune biomarker. The use of mRNA for PD-L1 testing carries the potential for a more precise standardization without the confounding IHC interpretation variability or protein expression heterogeneity. Correlation between tissue PD-L1 mRNA and tissue PD-L1 protein expression has yielded conflicting findings. Levels of tissue mRNA expression correlated with PD-L1 protein tumor cell expression with the Dako 28-8 monoclonal antibody IHC staining percentages in NSCLC [13]. There was a similar tissue PD-L1 RNA expression correlation with the Dako 22C3 monoclonal antibody IHC staining in NSCLC and other solid tumors [14]. However, other studies have identified low concordance.

There was only 59% concordance between tissue mRNA PD-L1 in-situ hybridization compared to tissue PD-L1 protein [15]. In a study comparing tissue RNA PD-L1 by PCR with IHC PD-L1 protein 22C3, SP263, and SP 142 assays, 51 of the 167 patient samples tested were discordant with no tumor cell PD-L1 staining yet RNA PD-L1 expression. Of those patients without tumor cell staining, 57% demonstrated immune cell PD-L1 protein expression [16]. In the CLOVER study of 437 NSCLC patients across all stages, there was low agreement between PD-L1 RNA by PCR

and PD-L1 protein by the same three IHC assays, concluding PCR PD-L1 RNA is not equivalent to IHC assays, but can identify PD-L1 IHC negative patients [17].

Tissue PD-L1 mRNA expression is suggestive of ICI treatment benefit. Conroy et al. concluded PD-L1 mRNA expression is comparable to PD-L1 protein expression by IHC both analytically and clinically in predicting ICI response in NSCLC [14]. In another study, tissue mRNA qPCR was stated to have only weak correlation with tissue PD-L1 protein. However, high PD-L1 mRNA expression was associated with improved long-term benefit of ICI treatment, whereas low PD-L1 RNA levels had a high negative predictive value of 0.92 for absence of long-term benefit emphasizing the need for further validation of PD-L1 mRNA [18].

Plasma cell free mRNA (cfRNA) testing can be difficult because of RNA fragility and poor extraction efficiency. However, advances in liquid biopsy technology have successfully brought plasma RNA testing into the clinic [19,-20]. Ishiba et al. reported plasma cfRNA PD-L1 by PCR detectable across various cancers with no reported detection in the tested healthy individuals [21]. In the twelve patients in that study with parallel plasma and tissue samples available, there was concordance between the plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and the tissue PD-L1 protein expression and stated to be predictive of ICI response, however, OS benefit was not reported [21]. Raez et al. reported cfRNA PD-L1 expression by PCR in a variety of metastatic cancers, including 52 NSCLC patients. That study noted dynamic changes in the cfRNA PD-L1 expression with ICI treatment response, but due to lack of follow up with the COVID pandemic, survival outcomes were not reported [22].

Our aim was to evaluate the association of plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and treatment based clinical outcomes in metastatic NSCLC patients. In this retrospective real-world patient experience, we report the median and landmark 3-year OS in metastatic NSCLC patients who demonstrated positive plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and were treated with ICI-based therapy compared to chemotherapy alone.

Methods

This is a single-institution, retrospective observational study performed at the Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University (Greenville, NC, USA) with patients treated at the Vidant Medical Center (now ECU Health Medical Center). In order to assess a landmark 3-year OS, patients with pathologically confirmed NSCLC and positive plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression by PCR were identified through the institutional thoracic oncology program database from November 2018 through July 2019 (n = 92). Patients with stage I/II/III NSCLC, stage unknown, or with the presence of a targetable oncogenic driver mutation/fusion were excluded. There were no other clinical or laboratory exclusion criteria. Patients were treated based upon the current available standard of care during that time period with the local treating

oncologist making the final treatment decision. Patients with stage IV NSCLC meeting these criteria and who received their treatment at Vidant Medical Center (now ECU Health Medical Center) were identified and utcomes assessed based upon receiving either ICI-based treatment or chemotherapy alone treatment. The Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University Institutional Review Board approved this study.

The 'IO cohort' consisted of sixteen patients with metastatic NSCLC who demonstrated plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and were treated with first-line ICI-based therapies. Thirteen patients received combination anti-PD-1/PD-L1 ICI plus chemotherapy regimens and three patients anti-PD-1/L1 ICI alone. No patients received definitive concurrent chemoradiation therapy or thoracic radiation therapy (RT). Palliative RT with either whole brain RT or Gamma Knife radiosurgery, or palliative stereotactic body RT were undertaken as indicated upon the recommendation of the treating oncologist. The 'ChemoRx cohort' consisted of ten contemporaneously identified metastatic NSCLC patients with plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression who received first-line platinum-based doublet chemotherapy alone. Median and 3-year landmark OS outcomes in the IO cohort were compared to the ChemoRx cohort.

Plasma for testing was collected before any treatment. Blood was collected in a single 10-ml EDTA tube. The cfRNA PD-L1 expression testing was performed at the Circulogene CLIA/CAP accredited laboratory (Birmingham, AL, USA). Circulogene is a commercial liquid biopsy vendor with a proprietary patented preanalytical linear-in-situ-amplification technology. The cfRNA PD-L1 Gene Expression assay is a real-time PCR-based assay with PD-L1 specific PCR primers. The demonstrated limit of detection for cfRNA PD-L1 was 1.0 copy/uL. Tissue PD-L1 protein expression testing with the Dako 22C3 monoclonal antibody was requested in all patients.

Ten patients in the IO cohort did have simultaneous plasma and tissue PD-L1 expression results. Tissue PD-L1 was reported as \geq 50% in six patients and \geq 1% in four patients. Six of the total IO cohort of sixteen patients (37%) were either tissue PD-L1 negative or unknown due to tissue quantity not sufficient for testing.

OS was assessed from the date of diagnosis and either death or censored follow-up. Median follow-up was 33 months. OS analysis was performed by AnalystSoft StatPlus Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test p-value and hazard ratio (HR) survival analysis. The pre-specified endpoint was median and 3-year OS.

Results

The IO cohort and ChemoRx cohort had similar advanced NSCLC histology and clinical presentations. As typical of a realworld advanced NSCLC patient population, half had an ECOG performance status (PS) of 2 or greater, 20-30% symptomatic brain metastases, and one-third with bone metastases, all predictors of poor ICI and chemotherapy treatment benefit (Table 1).

| Table 1 | : Clinical | presentation | s of the | ΙΟ | cohort | and | ChemoRx | cohort |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----|---------|-------|---------|--------|
| treated p | patients wi | th plasma cfI | RNA PD | -L1 | express | sion. | | |

| IO COHORT (N = 16 |) | CHEMORX COHORT (N = 10) | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| GENDER | 8 Females/8 males | 10 males | | | |
| AGE | Median age 65 (range 55-85) | Median age 69 (range 42-81) | | | |
| HISTOLOGY | 75% non-squamous 25% squamous | 70% non-squamous 30% squamous | | | |
| ECOG PS | ECOG PS $1 = 8$ ECOG PS $\ge 2 = 8$ | ECOG PS $1 = 4$ ECOG PS $\ge 2 = 6$ | | | |
| BRAIN METASTASES | 5 (31%) | 2 (20%) | | | |
| BONE METASTASES | 7 (44%) | 3 (30%) | | | |

The IO treated cohort had a statistically improved OS compared to the ChemoRx treated cohort. The IO cohort patients had a median OS of thirteen months with a 30% 3-year OS. In comparison, the ChemoRx cohort had a median OS of three months and 3-year OS of 10%.

Comparative log-rank test p-value = 0.014 HR of 0.376 (95% CI, 0.14-1.057) (Figure 1). There was no OS difference in the IO cohort whether tissue PD-L1 was positive, negative, or unknown. Given the clinically known poorer OS differences of both ICI and chemotherapy treatment in patients with an ECOG PS of 2 or worse compared to ECOG PS of 0 or 1, OS was compared between the ECOG PS 2 or greater patients and the ECOG PS 1 patients in the IO cohort. There was no difference in OS between the IO cohort patients of ECOG PS 2 or greater and ECOG PS 1 (Figure 2).

IO COHORT VS. CHEMORX COHORT



Figure 1: Overall survival of the IO cohort compared to the ChemoRx cohort treated patients with plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression (p-value = 0.014).

ECOG PS 0-1 VS. 2-3





Discussion

Just as in the pharma sponsored ICI clinical trials demonstrating tissue PD-L1 protein expression was predictive of an improved OS of ICI compared to chemotherapy in first-line therapy [23,24], plasma cfRNA PD-L1 by PCR expression was associated with an improved OS of ICI-based treatment compared to chemotherapy in our symptomatic metastatic NSCLC population. Within this real-world patient experience and an expected poorer OS, the clinical outcomes of our ICI- based treated patients with plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression demonstrated a similar median OS and 3-year OS of 30% as the large prospective ICI clinical trials based on tissue PD-L1 protein expression. Even when 37% of patients were either tissue PD-L1 negative or unknown, patients with positive plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression still achieved an improved ICI treatment benefit.

Real-world data invariably shows poorer clinical outcomes than clinical trial outcomes. That becomes most evident in patients with an ECOG PS of 2. What data is available with chemotherapy studies, ECOG PS 2 patients demonstrated a median OS of 3.9 months and 6% 2- year OS such that this four-chemotherapy regimen phase III trial amended the ongoing protocol excluding ECOG PS 2 patients [25]. This supports an expected OS difference between the chemotherapy treated patients in clinical trials limited to an ECOG of 0 or 1 with our chemotherapy treated population with over half of the patients with an ECOG of 2 or greater.

There remains an open debate whether any NSCLC ECOG PS 2 patients without a targetable mutation or fusion should even be treated with ICI since they were not represented in any of the pharma sponsored ICI clinical trials leaving oncologists without any outcomes data in that symptomatic patient population compared to chemotherapy and what data there is, indicates a much poorer outcome than patients with a PS of 0 or 1 [26-28]. The Flatiron

Health database in non-squamous NSCLC patients with an ECOG PS of ≥ 2 and tissue PD-L1 expression of $\geq 50\%$ treated with ICI alone, reports a median OS of 5.2 months and 3-year KM estimated OS of 16.7%. In ICI-chemotherapy treated non-squamous NSCLC patients with an ECOG PS ≥ 2 , median OS was 6.3 months with a KM estimated 3-year OS of just 10.3%. Both median OS and KM estimated 3-year OS approach only half of ECOG PS 0 or 1 patient survivals treated with ICI-based regimens [29]. Bone metastases are associated with a cold tumor immune microenvironment and has become a recognized unfavorable metastatic compartment of ICI treatment outcome benefit irrespective of ECOG PS or liver metastases [30]. Over 40% of patients in our patient population had bone metastases further emphasizing the potential unfavorable ICI treatment outcomes of our real-world experience.

In our population, over half had an ECOG PS of 2 or greater and one-third symptomatic brain metastases, such that only one-third of our patients would have been eligible for a pharma sponsored ICI clinical trial limited to asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic ECOG PS of 0 or 1 patients and excluding those with untreated symptomatic brain metastases. The expected poor outcomes in the ChemoRx cohort would not account for the comparative difference as the IO cohort clinical presentations were similar with poor ICI benefit prognostic factors. Even with these unfavorable ICI benefit patients in our IO cohort, the ICI-based treatment OS outcomes in the ECOG PS 2 patients associated with plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression was not inferior to our ECOG PS 1 patients or clinical trial outcomes data and was better than reported real-world data in the Flatiron Health database.

We felt that a landmark OS with prolonged follow-up would best reflect the clinical utility of plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and ICI treatment outcome. Response rates and progression free survival have been inconsistent early surrogates of ICI treatment OS, and a lack of an early response does not preclude an ICI OS benefit [31-33]. A pooled analysis of first-line ICI randomized trials failed to show a strong correlation between PFS or response rates with OS emphasizing the importance of having mature OS data as the most important endpoint for first- line ICI trials [34,35].

There are limitations of this reported patient experience. It is a retrospective collection of outcomes data treated at a single institution and not a prospective multi-institutional randomized comparison. Our presented outcomes data also only reflects patients with plasma cfRNA PD-L1. Similar outcomes data with ICI-based treated patients who were plasma PD-L1 negative treated at our institution was not captured in this comparative cohort study. That comparison is being assessed in another cohort comparison. Even with these limitations and the modest patient sample size, to our knowledge it does represent the largest patient experience of plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression and ICI-based treatment compared to chemotherapy alone OS outcomes.

Conclusions

In a real-world patient experience of symptomatic metastatic NSCLC patients, plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expression was associated

with a statistically significant and clinically meaningful median and 3-year landmark OS benefit with ICI-based systemic treatment compared to chemotherapy. Plasma cfRNA PD-L1 expressing patients still received the outcome benefit of ICI treatment whether tissue PD-L1 was negative or unknown. Our data lends support for needed further and expanded study of the potential predictive benefit and clinical utility of plasma cfRNA PD-L1 as a predictive immune biomarker.

Acknowledgements

Presented in part at the ASTRO/ASCO/SITC sponsored Multidisciplinary Thoracic Cancers Symposium in Scottsdale, AZ, USA in December 2-4, 2021. Circulogene provided testing of plasma cfRNA PD-L1 at no patient charge. This research was supported in part by a research grant from Circulogene to Mahvish Muzaffar, MD.

References

- Hong L, Negrao M, Dibaj S, et al. Programmed Death-Ligand 1 Heterogeneity and Its Impact on Benefit From Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. 2020; 15: 1449-1459.
- 2. Munari E, Zamboni G, Lunardi G, et al. PD-L1 Expression Heterogeneity in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Defining Criteria for Harmonization between Biopsy Specimens and Whole Sections. J Thorac Oncol. 2018; 13: 1113-1120.
- 3. Aguilar E, Ricciuti B, Gainor J, et al. Outcomes to first line pembrolizumab in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and very high PD-L1 expression. Ann Oncol. 2019; 30: 1653-1659.
- Hirsch F, McElhinny A, Stanforth D, et al. PD-L1 Immunohistochemical Assays for Lung Cancer: Results from Phase 1 of the Blueprint PD-L1 IHC Assay Comparison Project. J Thorax Oncol. 2017; 12: 208-222.
- Koomen B, Vora Q, Epskamp-Kuijpers C, et al. Considerable interlaboratory variation in PD-L1 positivity in a nationwide cohort of non-small cell lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer. 2021; 159: 117-126.
- 6. Okuma Y, Wako H, Utsumi H, et al. Soluble Programmed Cell Death Ligand 1 as a Novel Biomarker for Nivolumab Therapy for Non-Small-cell Lung Cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2018; 19: 410-417.
- Wei W, Xu B, Wang Y, et al. Prognostic significance of circulating soluble programmed death ligand-1 in patients with solid tumors. Medicine. 2018; 97; 3: e9617.
- Gong B, Kiyo Tani K, Sakata S, et al. Secreted PD-L1 variants mediate resistance to PD-L1 blockade therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. J Exp Med. 2019; 216: 982-100.
- Guibert N, Delaunay M, Lacquer A, et al. PD-L1 expression in circulating tumor cells of advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with nivolumab. Lung Cancer. 2018; 120: 108-112.

- Moran J, Adams D, Edelman M, et al. Monitoring PD-L1 Expression on Circulating Tumor-Associated Cells in Recurrent Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma Predicts Response to Immunotherapy with radiation Therapy. JCO Precis Oncol. 2022; 6: e2200457.
- 11. Del Re M, Marconi R, Pasquini G, et al. PD-L1 mRNA expression in plasma-derived exosomes is associated with response to anti-PD-1 antibodies in melanoma and NSCLC. British J of Cancer. 2018; 118: 820-824.
- 12. Miguel-Perez D, Russo A, Arrieta O, et al. Extracellular vesicle PD-L1 dynamics predict durable response to immunecheckpoint inhibitors and survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022; 41: 186.
- 13. Erber R, Stohr R, Herlein S, et al. Comparison of PD-L1 mRNA Expression Measured with the Check Point Typer Assay with PD-L1 Protein Expression Assessed with Immunohistochemistry in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. Anticancer Research. 2017; 37: 6771-6778.
- 14. Conroy J, Pable S, Nesline M, et al. Next generation sequencing of PD-L1 for predicting response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. J ImmunoTherapy Cancer. 2019; 7: 18.
- 15. Coppock J, Volaric A, Mills A, et al. Concordance levels of PD-L1 expression by immunohistochemistry, mRNA in situ hybridization, and outcome in lung carcinomas. Human Pathology. 2018; 82: 282-288.
- Venina A, Ivantsov A, Iyevleva A, et al. PCR-based analysis of PD-L1 RNA expression in lung cancer: comparison with commonly used immunohistochemical assays. Ann Diag Path. 2022; 59: 151968.
- 17. Tsimafeyeu I, Imyanitov E, Zavalishina L, et al. Agreement between PDL1 immunohistochemistry assays and polymerase chain reaction in non-small cell lung cancer: CLOVER comparison study. Scientific Reports. 2020; 10: 3928.
- 18. Fernandez A, Gavrielatou N, McCann L, et al. Programmed Death-Ligand 1 and Programmed Death-Ligand 2 mRNAs Measured Using Closed-System Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Are Associated With Outcome and High Negative Predictive value In Immunotherapy-Treated NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. 2022; 17: 10781085.
- 19. Chen-Hsiung Y. Enabling Circulating Cell-free mRNA Profiling to Empower Cancer Early Detection. J Mol Genet Med. 2020; 14: S3.
- Yeh C. Enabling circulating cell-free mRNA theranostics from PD-L1, ALK, ROS1, NTRK to transcriptomic profiling. J Clin Oncol. 2022; 40; 16: 3033-3033.
- 21. Ishiba T, Hoffmann A, Usher J, et al. Frequencies and expression levels of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) in circulating tumor RNA (ctRNA) in various cancer types. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2018; 500: 621-625.
- 22. Raez L, Dannenberg K, Sumarriva D, et al. Using cfRNA as a tool to evaluate clinical treatment outcomes in patients with metastatic lung cancers and other tumors. Cancer Drug Resist. 2021; 4: 1061-1071.

- 23. Mok T, Wu L, Kudaba I, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042) a randomized, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2019; 393: 1819-1830.
- 24. Rodriguez-Abreu D, Powell S, Hochmair M, et al. Pemetrexed plus platinum with or without pembrolizumab in patients with previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC: protocol-specified final analysis from KEYNOTE-189. Ann Oncol. 2021; 32: 881-895.
- 25. Schiller J, Harrington D, Belani C, et al. Comparison of Four Chemotherapy Regimens for Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346: 92-98.
- Passaro A, Spitaleri G, Gyawali B, et al. Immunotherapy in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients With Performance Status 2: Clinical Decision Making With Scant Evidence. J Clin Oncol. 2019; 37: 1863-1867.
- Sehgal K, Gill R, Widick P, et al. Association of Performance Status With Survival in Patients With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Treated With Pembrolizumab Monotherapy. JAMA Network Open. 2021; 4: e2037120.
- Fujimoto D, Miura S, Yoshimura K, et al. A Real-World Study on the Effectiveness and Safety of Pembrolizumab Plus Chemotherapy for Nonsquamous NSCLC. JTO Clin Res Rep. 2022; 3: 100265.
- 29. Waterhouse D, Lam J, Betts K, et al. Real-world outcomes of Immunotherapy-based regimens in first-line advanced non-

small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2021; 156: 41-49.

- Landi L, D'Inca F, Gelibter A, et al. Bone metastasis and immunotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J ImmunoTherapy of Cancer. 2019; 7: 316.
- 31. Ye J, Ji X, Dennis P, et al. Relationship Between Progression-Free Survival, Objective Response Rate, and Overall Survival in Clinical Trials of PD-1/PD-L1 Immune Checkpoint Blockade: A Meta-Analysis. Clin Pharm Therapeutics. 2020; 108: 12741288.
- 32. Ritchie G, Gasper H, Man J, et al. Defining the Most Appropriate Primary End-Point in Phase 2 Trials of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors for Advanced Solid Cancers. JAMA Oncol. 2018; 4: 522-528.
- 33. Gyawali B, Hey S, Kesselheim A. A Comparison of Response Patterns for ProgressionFree and Overall Survival Following treatment for Cancer With PD-1 Inhibitors. JAMA Network Open. 2018; 1: e180416.
- 34. Goulart B, Mushti S, Chatterjee S, et al. Association of progression-free survival and overall response rate with overall survival in first-line randomized trials of immune checkpoint inhibitor-based regimens for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): An FDA pooled analysis. J Clin Oncol. 2022; 40; 16: 9029-9029.
- 35. Merino M, Kasamon Y, Theoret M, et al. Irreconcilable Differences: The Divorce Between Response Rates, Progression-Free Survival, and Overall Survival. J Clin Oncol. 2023; 41: 2706-2712.

© 2023 Paul W, et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License