The Effectiveness of Virgin Coconut Oil Application on Improving The Skin Integrity of Preterm Infants: Systematic Review and Metaanalysis with Neonatal Skin Condition Score as the Parameter
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ABSTRACT

Background: Preterm infants have a greater susceptibility to serious infections because their skin barrier has not fully functioned properly. Early birth in preterm infants causes immaturity of the barrier development, in the form of a thinner stratum corneum. Therefore extra care is needed to maintain the integrity of their skin to prevent infection, where the main recommendation is the administration of emollients, such as coconut oil. Virgin coconut oil contains nutrients and lauric acid that are more maintained and higher than coconut oil. Changes in skin integrity can cause extensive skin damage and become risk factors for infection. Erythema or damage to the skin such as erosion, excoriation, or crusting can be an early symptom of life-threatening infection in preterm infants. Assessment of skin integrity can be assessed objectively using the Neonatal Skin Condition Score (NSCS). Virgin coconut oil as an emollient with its antimicrobial activity is expected to allow improvement of the barrier structure of the skin.

Aim: The purpose of this study is to review previous studies to provide a systematic summary of the effectiveness of topical coconut oil in improving the skin integrity of premature infants.

Methods: Searching the electronic database Pubmed-MEDLINE, Scopus, ProQuest, Cochrane library, ClinicalTrials.gov, and Google Scholar, found five most relevant articles included in the qualitative and two of those five articles included in the meta-analysis.

Result: The meta-analysis of the effect of topical VCO compared with control on NSCS values in preterm infants showed the value of heterogeneity test with Tau²=0.04 df=1; p=0.13, I²=55%. The value of the Q statistic was z value = 5.23 (p < 0.001). This shows that the overall administration of topical VCO can significantly improve the value of NSCS on preterm infants. The results of the overall meta-analysis showed that the overall standardized mean difference in NSCS between the intervention group compared to control was -0.93[-1.28 -0.58] (p < 0.001). These results indicate that the overall NSCS value of the intervention group was significantly better than the control group.

Conclusion: Data from a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the application of VCO can provide significantly better results in improving the skin integrity of preterm infants.
ClinicalTrials.gov, as well as hand searching from libraries in Indonesia with a period until data analysis was carried out. The study sample size was all clinical trials with randomization regarding the administration of coconut oil in preterm infants' skin according to the research criteria. The search for information sources is carried out until the data analysis was conducted. The following Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms are used to create two subgroups of citations, topical coconut oil for preterm babies and clinical trials.

### Data analysis
Prior to data analysis, the collected data were checked based on their characteristics such as research title and year. Inclusion criteria include Research using topical coconut oil for preterm babies, clinical trials, subjects who were born at 20-37 weeks of gestation and had no pre-existing skin infections, and research outcomes in the form of NSCS or other scores assessed against similar clinical criteria. Exclusion criteria studies: written neither in Indonesian nor English, case report, serial case, letter, and literature review.

The systematic review and meta-analysis of weighted mean differences between the treatment and control groups were analyzed using the Cochrane systematic review software (RevMan) [Computer program] Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre.

### Result
The search for research articles was conducted based on the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flowchart (Figure 1).

Data collection was carried out online using electronic databases including Pubmed-MEDLINE, Scopus, ProQuest, Scopus, Cochrane Library, ClinicalTrials.gov, and Google Scholar, as well as hand searching from libraries in Indonesia with a period until the analysis was carried out. The initial search collected 168 articles. After checking the title and removing duplication, there were 138 articles. A thorough assessment of 138 articles demonstrated that 14 article titles were considered relevant and included in the analysis. The abstracts of these articles were then reviewed, so that 9 articles were excluded, consisting of 8 primary research articles outside the topic of this meta-analysis, and 1 title is a review article. Five articles in the form of complete papers were assessed for feasibility and used in qualitative studies, while two of those five articles were used in quantitative studies to assess the effectiveness of VCO application in improving skin integrity of preterm infants.

### Research Characteristics
The majority of the research locations were carried out in India (n=2), Pakistan (n=1), Australia (n=1) and the Philippines (n=1). All studies used a randomized clinical trial design. The total sample of 5 studies was 2,750 people. All studies selected a population of preterm newborns as research subjects, with various gestational ages, including 33 weeks with 12 hours of age, ranges >26 and <37 weeks, 37 weeks, <30 weeks, and 34 weeks with age < 72 hours. Two studies used topical coconut oil in the intervention...
group compared with the control group, while the other three studies used topical VCO as an emollient given to preterm infants compared to the control group. All studies used a control group that only received routine care for preterm babies in general, without additional treatment. Four out of five studies applied the oil with a frequency of 2x a day, while one study used oil 4x a day. The duration of the treatment was different, where 2 studies gave oil for 28 days, 1 study for 7 days, 1 study for 21 days, and 1 study for 14 days.

Result of Qualitative Data Analysis (Systematic Review)  
**Strunk et al. 2017**

This study was conducted in Australia on 72 preterm infants with gestational age <30 weeks and 24 hours postpartum. Subjects were divided into two groups, where 36 neonates in the treatment group received topical VCO, while 36 neonates in the control group did not receive the oil. Gestational age ranged from 23.7–29.9 weeks for the treatment group and 23.0–29.9 weeks for the control group. VCO was given twice a day at 5 mL/kg for 21 days by trained
nurses, starting within 24 hours after birth. The oil is applied to
the entire surface of the skin, except for the face, scalp, and the
site of insertion of a catheter or drain, and is given with gentle
strokes without massage. The process of giving coconut oil is done
within 2-3 minutes. Both groups received routine care for neonates
according to the latest guidelines in the NICU, where topical VCO
was applied to the treatment group at the same time as routine care
to avoid excessive contact with the baby [14].

The main results assessed in this study were the feasibility of
using coconut oil in infants who were used as research subjects
according to the study protocol, safety or incidence of skin
irritation or local infection, and effectiveness in improving the
skin condition of preterm infants assessed using NSCS by two
independent examiners before the intervention, as well as on
days 7, 14, and 21. Secondary outcomes in the form of mortality
rates and the incidence of late-onset sepsis (LOS) will also be
discussed in this chapter, while other results include weight gain,
the incidence of body temperature instability, intraventricular
hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, retinopathy of prematurity,
and chronic lung disease will not be discussed further because they
are not related to skin integrity.

The median value (IQR) of neonates in the treatment group, which
was 1.312 (1.085-1.502) grams, showed better results than the
control group, which was 1.296 (1.066-1.492) grams on day 21.
Mortality in the treatment group was 0 (0), while the control group
was 4 (14).

Median (IQR) NSCS in the control group showed a decrease from
a score of 3 (3–4) on the first day, to 4 (4–4) on day 21, while
neonates in the intervention showed a stable median value from
birth until the end of the intervention period, 3 (3-3); p = 0.01.

The use of coconut oil twice a day was considered very feasible.
Undesirable events due to the use of coconut oil were not found in
this study. The incidence of skin irritation showed equal results in
both groups, where the treatment group got 9 events (25%), while
the control group got 8 events (22.2%); p = 0.779. This study
showed that the use of topical VCO as prophylaxis on the skin
of preterm infants can result in significantly better skin integrity
without any unwanted events.

Konar et al. 2019
This study was conducted on 2,294 preterm newborns who were
divided into two groups randomly using a computer program.
Groups A and B consisted of 1146 and 1148 preterm newborns,
respectively, with gestational age <37 weeks. The mean gestational
age of the study population was 31.9±3.4 weeks, of which 50.4%
of the infants were male. Neonates who were included in group
A received 5 ml of VCO, four times a day. Coconut oil is applied
with gentle massage by the nurse on duty (during hospitalization)
and by the mother/family member (after discharge) to all body
surfaces other than the face and scalp after they wash their hands
thoroughly. Neonates included in group B received treatment
in the form of gentle massage by the nurse on duty (during
hospitalization) and by the mother/family member (after being
discharged) without applying oil to the skin [15].

The results assessed in this study that related to skin integrity
included skin condition scores and clinical conditions, which were
assessed for the presence or absence of sepsis. Other outcomes
such as weight gain, serum vitamin D3 level, and neurologic
development were not discussed further because they were not
related to skin integrity. Skin condition or integrity was evaluated
using NSCS at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days of age. The score is determined
based on three main parameters: dry skin, erythema, and damage
or impaired skin integrity. The NSCS score is obtained by adding
up the three scores.

No significant difference in mean NSCS was found between the
two groups at study entry (4.9 ± 1.1 compared with 5.0 ± 1.0,
p>0.01). However, during the study period it was found that there
was a significantly better NSCS score in Group A compared to
Group B on days 7, 14, 21 and 28 (p<0.01). The mean score of
NSCS on day 28 of group A was 3.9 ± 0.7, while group B was 4.8
± 1.0.

Earlier maturation was found in the skin of the neonates in
Group A. The neonates in Group A were 0.31 times less likely
(95% CI: 0.24–0.39) to have decreased skin maturity. Group A
also showed a 0.45 times lower (95% CI: 0.39–0.53) probability
of developing hypothermia and 0.62 times lower (95% CI: 0.58–
0.67) of developing hypothermia. apnea. All of these observations
were statistically significant (p<0.01). The incidence of late-onset
sepsis (2.7% compared with 3.2%, p>0.01) and the incidence of
rash (1.8% compared with 2.0%, p>0.01) were not significantly
different between Group A and Group A. Group B. No significant
side effects were found due to the use of coconut oil. This study
shows that the use of coconut oil on the skin of neonates can help
the process of skin maturity and prevent hypothermia in preterm
neonates.

Nangia et al. 2015
This study was conducted on 74 preterm newborns, by comparing
the use of topical coconut oil (n=37) with the control group (n=37)
for 7 days. Gestational age in the coconut oil group was 31.89 ±
2.21 weeks and in the control group was 31.00 ± 2.45 weeks. Both
groups received standard preterm care. The treatment group was
given coconut oil spread on the skin starting from under the neck,
twice a day, starting at the age of 12-6 hours, while the control
group was not given oil. For each session, the nurse on duty will
apply 4 ml of coconut oil without massaging [16].

The outcomes assessed in this study were TEWL, skin swab results,
and skin condition. The oil group TEWL was checked just before
each oil application session using an evapimeter instrument
(VapoMeter®, Delfin Technologies limited, Kuopio, Finland).
TEWL in the control group was checked every 12 hours for the
first week after birth at the same time as the time of birth. The
level of relative humidity and room temperature of the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) (RHN and TN), as well as the microenvironmental conditions of the infant (RHB and TB), were also recorded each time the TEWL examination was performed. Skin integrity was evaluated at the end of the first week after birth using SCGS by Lane and Drost, where a score of 0 represented the best skin condition and 9 represented the worst skin condition.

Culture examination of skin swab samples was performed at the end of the first week after birth to evaluate bacterial colonization in the skin. The officers who examined the skin scores, the microbiologist, and the officers who analyzed the data were not aware of the division of the study groups.

The oil group showed significantly lower TEWL values at all measurement points from 12 to 168 hours of age. The mean value of TEWL of the coconut oil group showed a significantly lower result, 15.67 ± 8.67, than the control group, which was 25.64 ± 26.43.

The skin condition showed good results for both groups, with the worst score obtained as much as 3, where the skin score was significantly better in the group that received oil. The highest score of skin conditions in infants after treatment in the oil group was 1 (54.05%), followed by a score of 2 (43.24%), and the least was a score of 3 (2.7%). The highest score in the control group was a score of 2 (70.27%), a score of 3 (18.92%), and a score of 1 (10.81%).

Culture examination of skin swab samples showed sterile results in 81% of infants in the oil group and 43% of infants in the control group. Seven infants in the oil group showed growth of gram-positive coccus bacteria, while in the control group, growth of gram-positive coccus bacteria was found in 16 infants, gram-negative bacilli in 4 infants, and mixed colonization in 1 infant.

Salam et al. 2015
This study was conducted on 258 preterm babies born at Aga Khan University Hospital (AKUH), Karachi, Pakistan, with a gestational age range of >26 and <37 weeks for 28 days. The subjects were divided into two groups, the intervention group with coconut oil application and the control group. The treatment group was given 5 mL/kg of coconut oil, twice a day in all over the body surface (other than the face, scalp, and the location of the intravenous infusion line) for 2-3 minutes. All neonates in the treatment and control groups underwent the same routine care for premature infants [6].

The study was conducted for 28 days. Neonates in both study groups were monitored once a week after discharge from the hospital until 28 days of age. Mothers of neonates in the intervention group were trained to apply coconut oil twice a day at home until the baby was 28 days old and given a new supply of coconut oil in a sterile container once a week upon arrival for a control examination. Compliance with oil use was evaluated at each control visit by checking the volume of oil required to refill the previously supplied container to the brim.

The main assessment in this study is the incidence of hospital-acquired bloodstream infection (HABSI), which is defined as the presence of positive blood culture for any pathogenic organism. A blood culture is performed whenever the doctor suspects a systemic infection based on clinical signs, including lethargy, poor peripheral perfusion, fever or unstable body temperature, increased oxygen demand, abdominal distension, inability to eat, bradycardia, or apnea. The secondary outcomes evaluated included weight gain and skin condition, which were assessed daily by the nurse after the baby was bathed using a sponge in both study groups. The skin condition of the neonates was assessed based on the criteria used by Salam et al, where the scores were: 0: Skin intact; 1: Reddish skin; 2: Visible peeling skin and appearance of red spots; and 3: if there are bruises and abrasions. Mortality rates were also recorded at 28 days of age.

The incidence of HABSI was found to be greater in the control group than the treatment at the end of the study. A total of 38 neonates were recorded as having HABSI, with the most common etiologies being coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp and Acinetobacter spp. Four neonates were known to be infected by Klebsiella pneumoniae, 3 neonates each by Pseudomonas spp and Staphylococcus aureus, 2 neonates each by Enterococcus spp and Candida albicans, and 1 neonate by Streptococcus spp. The treatment group showed lower HABSI overall, in which only 22 neonates developed an infection. Three of them were caused by Acinetobacter spp, 2 by Klebsiella pneumoniae, while the other 4 were caused by coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp, Enterococcus spp, Candida albicans, and Staphylococcus aureus, respectively.

The use of coconut oil was proven to significantly improve skin integrity (p=0.0001), where 31% or 40 of 128 neonates in the control group showed impaired skin integrity with different degrees of severity; as many as 3 neonates were known to have a score of 3, 20 neonates showed a score of 2, 17 neonates with a score of 1, and 90 others with a score of 0. The treatment group showed fewer skin integrity disorders, namely only in 11% or 15 of 130 neonates, where 2 neonates showed a score of 3, 4 neonates with a score of 2, 9 neonates with a score of 1, and as many as 113 neonates (88.3%) showed a score of 0 or no skin disorders. The length of hospitalization was about 7 days in both groups, where the treatment group was 7.0 days, while the control group was 7.7 days (p=0.416). Undesirable side effects due to the use of coconut oil in the form of skin reactions, phototherapy burns, or other types of infection, were not found in the treatment group.

Bautista et al. 2013
This study was conducted on 52 preterm infants with gestational age at birth 34 weeks, aged <72 hours to determine the effectiveness of topical VCO administration compared to the control group. The treatment group consisted of 24 infants, while the control group
consisted of 28 infants. Infants in the treatment group received topical VCO twice a day for 14 days after being included as study subjects, at a dose of 4 g/kg body weight per session. Patients in the control group received routine skincare according to standards in the NICU, with minimal or no use of topical emollients. Both groups received the same general care [17].

The main outcome assessed was the incidence of nosocomial infections in the form of sepsis, both clinically diagnosed and supported by bacteriological examination results, pneumonia, meningitis, necrotizing enterocolitis, and urinary tract infections. Other secondary outcomes were not discussed further because they were not related to the skin integrity of preterm infants.

All patients underwent routine blood cultures at the start of the study within 48 hours of starting treatment. The results obtained were then compared with the results of blood and cerebrospinal fluid culture examinations performed on patients suspected of having sepsis. If the culture performed on a patient suspected of having sepsis showed the same type of organism as the initial culture, the patient was considered to have a persistent congenital infection and was excluded from analysis for nosocomial infection. Infants who are research subjects will be observed their development until the age of 28 days or until the patient is discharged.

The frequency of incidence of nosocomial sepsis in the control group showed higher results when compared to the intervention group, 12 of 28 (43%) compared to 9 of 24 infants (38%). However, this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.695). The mortality rate showed relatively similar results between the two study groups; where the group that received routine skincare showed a mortality rate of 14% and the topical VCO therapy group showed a mortality rate of 12%, P= 0.851.

**Quantitative Data result (Meta-Analysis)**

**Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Virgin Coconut Oil on Skin Integrity of Preterm Infants**

The NSCS in the VCO group compared with control was presented in table 1. Table 1 showed that the intervention group had a lower NSCS after VCO administration, which was 0 ± 0.1 compared to the control group that did not receive oil, which was 0.67 ± 0.77. The lower NSCS was reported after VCO administration, which was -1 ± 0.96 compared to the control group, which was -0.2 ± 1 [15].

The results of the meta-analysis of the effectiveness of topical VCO on NSCS of preterm infants are shown in the following table:

**Table 1: Characteristics of included studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>VCO</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strunk et al., 2018</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konar et al., 2019</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.96</td>
<td>1146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean difference between the treatment and control group in Strunk et al's study was -1.21 (95% CI = -1.71 to -0.70) [14]. The mean difference between the treatment and control groups in Konar et al's study was -0.82 (95% CI = -0.90 to -0.73) (15). Meta-analysis showed a heterogeneity test with I^2=55% (p=0.13). The meta-analysis was carried out using a random-effect model. The results of the meta-analysis as a whole showed the overall effect of giving topical VCO to preterm infants in the treatment group compared to the control group on decreasing NSCS scores with a significant difference (p<0.001), with overall standardized mean difference -0.93 (95% CI= -1.28 s/d -0.58). These results indicate that the overall NSCS of the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group.

**Risk of Bias from the included studies**
The research articles included in the meta-analysis were 2 studies; Konar et al. 2019; Strunk et al. 2017 reported data with outcomes in the form of pre and post NSCS treatment using topical VCO.

The risk of bias from studies included in the analysis, both qualitative and quantitative, was assessed using The Cochrane Collecting data - form for RCTs only and The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias in randomized trials, including randomization, allocation concealment, blinding of study subjects, blinding outcomes, incomplete outcome data, selection of reported outcomes, and other biases. The risk assessment of bias from each of these aspects is then converted according to Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) standards. The risk assessment for bias is shown in Table 3. All studies have a high risk of bias for blinding personnel from the study because the clinical care team knows the division of research groups so that the type of intervention carried out does not allow blinding. The other reason was the inability to develop an appropriate placebo formulation to compare its effects with coconut oil and to mask the natural characteristic of this oil that made it impossible to blind the doctors and nurses at the time of administering emollients. That's what makes these studies categorized as the medium quality of...
Evidences. Thus, although the participants and personnel cannot be blinded in this study, this research can still be considered to have good quality.

Table 3: The meta-analysis of the effectiveness of topical VCO on NSCS of preterm infants. Risk of bias in studies used for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Circle symbol of ● with a positive sign indicating a low risk of bias, circle symbol of ○ with a question mark the risk of bias cannot be assessed, circle symbol of ◯ with a negative sign indicates a high risk of bias.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Random Sequence Generation</th>
<th>Allocation Concealment</th>
<th>Selective Reporting</th>
<th>Other Bias</th>
<th>Blinding of Participant and Personnel</th>
<th>Blinding of Outcome Assessment</th>
<th>Incomplete Outcome Data</th>
<th>Standard AHRQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strunk et al., 2017</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konar et al., 2019</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nangia et al., 2015</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salam et al., 2015</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This study is a meta-analytic observational study, a systematic review and a meta-analysis on the effectiveness of VCO on improving the skin integrity of preterm infants with skin condition score parameters obtained from visual observations, NSCS. All studies showed better scores in the treatment group at the end of the study.

The first dan second previous study [14,15], both used VCO in the intervention group and assessed skin condition at the beginning and end of the study using NSCS. Neonatal skin condition score in the study of Strunk showed the same median value in the treatment and control groups at the beginning of the study, but at the end of the observation, preterm infants in the control group experienced worsening of skin condition scores, from the median value (IQR) 3 (3-4) to 4 (4-4) at the end of the study. The group that received topical VCO had a stable median value (IQR) at the beginning and end of the study, which was 3 (3-3). The median value (IQR) was obtained from the correspondence between the researcher and the author of the article. The estimated mean ± SD of the research data is calculated using the formula \( x = \frac{(a+2m+b)}{4} \), using the median (m), the range of the lowest (a) and the highest (b) [14].

The mean value of NSCS in Konar’s study in the two groups both decreased, but the results showed that the group that received VCO experienced better skin integrity improvement, where there was a decrease in the mean NSCS from 4.9 ± 1.1 to 3.9 ± 0.7, while in the control group 5 ± 1 became 4.8 ± 1 at the end of the treatment [15].

Some of the studies mentioned above also had other outcomes assessed related to skin integrity. Nangia et al., 2015, investigated the effect of topical administration of coconut oil on TEWL values [16]. The results showed that the intervention group had significantly lower TEWL values at all measurement points from 12 to 168 hours of age. The mean value of TEWL of the coconut oil group showed a significantly lower result, namely 15.67 ± 8.67 than the control group, which was 25.64 ± 26.43. This is certainly related to the difference between the TEWL value and the skin barrier function. As it is known that TEWL is the amount of water that evaporates passively through the skin to the external environment caused by the pressure of water vapor on both sides of the skin barrier. This TEWL value is often used as a marker of skin barrier function. A low value is considered an indicator of the presence of an intact or restored skin barrier. This is following the results of the study where the group of preterm infants who received coconut oil had better skin integrity conditions than the control group, in line with the TEWL value which was also lower in the intervention group compared to the control.

The incidence of nosocomial infections was also investigated by looking at the results of skin swabs, the presence or absence of sepsis, the incidence of HABSI, and the number of incidences of nosocomial infections. Another study applied swab the skin of preterm infants on the seventh day after treatment. Culture examination of skin swab samples showed sterile results in 81% of infants in the coconut oil group and 43% of infants in the control group. Seven infants in the oil group showed growth of gram-positive coccus bacteria, while in the control group, growth of gram-positive coccus bacteria was found in 16 infants, gram-negative bacilli in 4 infants, and mixed colonization in 1 infant. This statistically significant difference shows the effect of the protective properties of coconut oil on the occurrence of bacterial colonization on the skin with its antimicrobial activity, which comes mainly from monolaurin. The monoglyceride form of lauric acid works by disrupting microbial membranes and has been shown to have activity against various bacteria, fungi, and viruses that have a lipid envelope [16].

The other study assessed the incidence of HABSI at the end of the study, where it was found that the incidence was higher in the control group than in the intervention group. A total of 38 preterm infants were recorded as having HABSI, with the most common etiology being coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp., and Acinetobacter spp. Four neonates were known to be infected by Klebsiella pneumoniae, 3 neonates each by Pseudomonas spp. and Staphylococcus aureus, 2 neonates each by Enterococcus spp. and Candida albicans, and 1 neonate by Streptococcus spp. The treatment group showed lower HABSI overall, in which only 22 neonates developed an infection. Three of them were caused by Acinetobacter spp., 2 by Klebsiella pneumoniae, while the other 4 were caused by coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp., Enterococcus spp., Candida albicans, and Staphylococcus aureus, respectively. Some of these pathogenic bacteria which are normal flora on the skin include Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas spp., Streptococcus spp., and Candida albicans. The
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The appearance of various signs and symptoms above plus the supported by bacteriological examination results was defined as acidosis, apnea and/or bradycardia, leukocytosis or leukopenia, reduced urine volume, or the presence of significant metabolic hypoperfusion such as hypotension, slow capillary refill time, temperature (hypothermia or hyperthermia), signs of organ of some signs and symptoms including instability of body diagnosed sepsis (clinical sepsis) is defined as the appearance appear within 48 hours or so after the baby is started. Clinically cases of bacterial infection, symptoms and signs will begin to started within 24-72 hours after birth. This means that in most have been transmitted through the placenta or infection that was defined as the incidence of localized or systemic infection in the form of sepsis, both clinically diagnosed and supported by bacteriological examination results [17]. Nosocomial infection was defined as the incidence of localized or systemic infection with onset after birth, excluding the type of infection known to have been transmitted through the placenta or infection that started within 24-72 hours after birth. This means that in most cases of bacterial infection, symptoms and signs will begin to appear within 48 hours or so after the baby is started. Clinically diagnosed sepsis (clinical sepsis) is defined as the appearance of some signs and symptoms including instability of body temperature (hypothermia or hyperthermia), signs of organ hypoperfusion such as hypotension, slow capillary refill time, reduced urine volume, or the presence of significant metabolic acidosis, apnea and/or bradycardia, leukocytosis or leukopenia, or thrombocytopenia, with negative blood culture results. Sepsis supported by bacteriological examination results was defined as the appearance of various signs and symptoms above plus the discovery of a specific pathogen on one or more blood culture examinations, without finding other possible causes of infection. This study reported that the incidence of nosocomial sepsis in the control group who only received routine skincare, showed higher results when compared to the group receiving topical VCO, namely 12 of 28 (43%) compared to 9 of 24 infants (38%). However, this difference was not statistically significant, P=0.695. The mortality rate showed relatively similar results between the two study groups; where the group that received routine skincare showed a mortality rate of 14% and the topical VCO therapy group showed a mortality rate of 12%, with a P-value = 0.851.61 The occurrence of nosocomial infections in preterm infants was still closely related to the fragile factor of the skin barrier which was not fully developed so that It has the potential to be one of the entrances for various pathogenic bacteria. It is well known that the application of coconut oil can accelerate the maturation of the skin barrier and improve its function to reduce the risk of infection. The results that were not significantly different between the treatment and control groups in this study could be influenced by other factors, such as the sample size being too small compared to other studies.

All of the studies mentioned above showed an improvement in skin integrity in preterm infants which can be seen from the better score of the skin condition in the treatment group compared to the control group, the presence of a significantly decreased TEWL in the treatment group, better results in skin swab culture, the number of lower incidence of HABSI, and lower incidence of nosocomial infections in the group receiving coconut oil. However, this research has some limitations, such as there is no suitable placebo formulation that can be developed to compare its effect on topical coconut oil, all the participants who apply coconut oil cannot experience the blinding process related to the nature and aroma of coconut oil, there are differences in the frequency and duration of studies, also there are several studies that have not used NSCS in clinically assessing the skin integrity of preterm infants.

Conclusion
The results of a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the application of virgin coconut oil can provide significantly better results in improving the skin integrity of preterm infants.
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