Research Article ISSN 2833-0307

Endocrinology, Metabolism and Nutrition

# The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix: A Neuroendocrine, Nutritional and Habit-Based Framework for Preventing Lifestyle-Related Metabolic Dysfunction

**Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria***

*Liderarte, Madrid, Spain.*

*ORCID ID: 0009-0001-3940-4278*

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria, Liderarte, Madrid, Spain.

**Received:** 18 Apr 2026; **Accepted:** 20 May 2026; **Published:** 30 May 2026

**Citation:** Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria. The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix: A Neuroendocrine, Nutritional and Habit-Based Framework for Preventing Lifestyle-Related Metabolic Dysfunction. Endocrinol Metab Nutr. 2026; 5(1): 1-11.

## ABSTRACT

*Metabolic dysfunction is one of the most significant clinical and public health challenges of the twenty-first century. Obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease and lifestyle-related endocrine alterations cannot be adequately understood through a purely caloric or pharmacological lens. Although energy balance remains biologically relevant, contemporary evidence shows that metabolic health is shaped by a complex interaction between nutritional quality, hormonal regulation, adipose tissue biology, skeletal muscle function, circadian rhythms, sleep, chronic stress, emotional behaviour, social environment and long-term adherence to healthy habits [1-7].*

*This narrative review proposes a neuroendocrine, nutritional and habit-based framework for understanding metabolic well-being as a dynamic state of physiological coherence rather than merely the absence of disease. The article integrates evidence from endocrinology, metabolism, nutrition science, behavioural medicine, sleep medicine, lifestyle medicine and arts-based health promotion. It introduces the Metabolic Well-Being Matrix, a six-dimensional model that includes nutritional quality, movement and skeletal muscle health, sleep and circadian alignment, stress and emotional regulation, social and environmental support, and meaning-based adherence.*

*The central argument is that metabolic prevention should move from isolated lifestyle recommendations to structured habit ecosystems capable of transforming scientific knowledge into sustained behaviour. Medical advice often fails not because the recommendations are biologically incorrect, but because they are insufficiently translated into emotionally meaningful, socially supported and behaviourally repeatable routines. The proposed framework complements established clinical guidelines and pharmacotherapy by addressing the gap between prescription and daily life. Future studies should test multicomponent interventions using metabolic biomarkers, anthropometric outcomes, validated behavioural scales and adherence indicators.*

## Keywords

Metabolic health, Endocrinology, Nutrition, Insulin resistance, Obesity, Lifestyle medicine, Circadian rhythm, Stress biology, Behaviour change, Adherence, Well-being.

## Highlights

- Metabolic health is a dynamic interaction between endocrine regulation, nutritional quality, sleep, movement, stress biology and adherence.
- The article proposes the Metabolic Well-Being Matrix as an integrative framework for prevention and clinical education.
- The model complements pharmacological and guideline-based care by addressing behavioural sustainability.
- Skeletal muscle, circadian alignment and emotional regulation are presented as central metabolic assets.
- Arts-based and experiential learning are cautiously proposed as adherence-enhancing strategies requiring empirical testing.

## Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction has become a defining medical and social challenge. The global burden of overweight, obesity and type 2 diabetes has expanded rapidly during recent decades, affecting health systems, families, workplaces and economies [1-3]. In 2022, approximately 2.5 billion adults worldwide were overweight,

and about 890 million were living with obesity [1]. These figures reveal that metabolic disease is not a marginal problem of individual behaviour, but a systemic condition generated by interactions among biology, environment, culture, economics and daily routines.

The clinical consequences of metabolic dysfunction are extensive. Insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, visceral adiposity, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease, obstructive sleep apnoea, cardiovascular disease, certain cancers and impaired quality of life are closely connected to the metabolic state of the organism [4-11]. Endocrinology, metabolism and nutrition therefore occupy a strategic position in contemporary medicine: they do not only treat disease; they also illuminate how modern ways of living interact with human biology.

For much of the twentieth century, metabolic health was often simplified into the language of calories, body weight and discipline. Although energy balance remains an essential biological principle, this reductionist view is insufficient. Human beings do not eat, move, sleep or regulate stress as isolated metabolic machines. They act within emotional, social, cultural and economic contexts. They are influenced by fatigue, shame, stress, habits, marketing, sleep deprivation, food availability, social norms, loneliness, meaning and hope. Any model of metabolic prevention that ignores these factors risks becoming technically correct but practically ineffective [12-17].

Modern endocrinology has already moved beyond reductionism. Adipose tissue is understood as an endocrine and inflammatory organ; skeletal muscle as a metabolic and secretory tissue; the gut as a site of microbial, immune and hormonal dialogue; sleep as a regulator of appetite, glucose tolerance and hormonal balance; chronic stress as a mediator of cortisol, reward circuits and eating behaviour; and incretin biology as a therapeutic frontier in diabetes and obesity care [18-30]. These advances demand a broader conceptual map.

This article proposes that metabolic well-being should be defined as the capacity of the organism to maintain adaptive endocrine, nutritional, inflammatory, energetic and behavioural regulation over time. This definition intentionally connects biological markers with daily life. It recognises that metabolic health is not only a laboratory value, a body mass index or a medication plan. It is a dynamic process supported by repeated actions: eating patterns, movement, sleep, emotional regulation, social connection and personal meaning.

The central thesis is that the future of metabolic prevention requires a shift from isolated advice to integrated habit ecosystems. Patients often receive fragmented recommendations: eat better, lose weight, exercise more, sleep well, reduce stress. These recommendations are not wrong. The problem is that they are frequently delivered without a structured pathway for translation into sustainable behaviour. The missing clinical bridge is adherence designed as a system rather than demanded as a moral virtue.

The purpose of this narrative review is threefold. First, it synthesises key mechanisms linking endocrine regulation, nutrition, circadian biology, stress and behaviour. Second, it presents the Metabolic Well-Being Matrix as a conceptual framework for prevention and health education. Third, it identifies research and implementation priorities for future metabolic health programmes that combine biomedical rigour with behavioural sustainability.

## Methodological orientation

This manuscript is a narrative review and conceptual framework. It does not claim to be a systematic review, meta-analysis or clinical trial report. Its objective is integrative: to bring together evidence and theoretical insights from endocrinology, metabolic medicine, nutrition, exercise science, sleep medicine, stress biology, behavioural science and arts-based health promotion.

The literature base includes international clinical guidelines, major prevention trials, mechanistic studies, systematic reviews, consensus documents and conceptual contributions related to obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes prevention, diet quality, physical activity, sleep, circadian rhythm, stress, gut microbiome, behaviour change and adherence [1-75]. Priority was given to widely cited studies, guideline documents and clinically relevant syntheses. Because the purpose is conceptual integration, the article does not apply formal systematic search criteria, risk-of-bias scoring or meta-analytic methods.

The framework is therefore best understood as a structured hypothesis for clinical education, preventive medicine and future intervention research. Some of its components, such as nutrition quality, physical activity, sleep and diabetes prevention, are supported by substantial empirical evidence [6-11,31-41]. Other components, such as arts-based learning as a support for metabolic adherence, are theoretically promising but require more direct clinical testing in metabolic populations [70-75].

## Conceptualising metabolic well-being

Clinical medicine necessarily uses diagnostic thresholds. A patient may be classified as diabetic or non-diabetic, obese or non-obese, hypertensive or normotensive. These categories are indispensable for diagnosis, treatment decisions, reimbursement systems and epidemiology. However, prevention requires attention to trajectories before thresholds are crossed. Metabolic dysfunction often accumulates silently through gradual changes in visceral adiposity, sleep quality, insulin sensitivity, inflammatory tone, appetite regulation, muscle mass and behavioural routines.

Metabolic well-being offers a broader preventive lens. It refers to the organism's capacity to maintain adaptive regulation across endocrine, nutritional, inflammatory, energetic and behavioural systems. This capacity is visible in biomarkers such as fasting glucose, HbA1c, lipid profile, blood pressure, waist circumference and inflammatory markers, but also in functional indicators such as muscular strength, cardiorespiratory fitness, sleep quality, appetite regulation, emotional eating, perceived stress and adherence to health-promoting habits.

This concept avoids two common errors. The first is biological reductionism: the assumption that metabolic health is only a problem of hormones, genes, drugs or laboratory values. The second is moral simplification: the assumption that metabolic disease is simply the consequence of personal weakness. Both are incomplete. Human metabolism is biological, but it is lived within social conditions. Responsibility matters, but blame is clinically unhelpful. A mature approach must integrate physiology, behaviour and context.

The distinction between disease management and metabolic well-being is especially important in early prevention. A person may have normal fasting glucose but progressive abdominal adiposity, low muscle mass, chronic sleep restriction and stress-driven eating. Another may achieve temporary weight loss but fail to improve metabolic resilience because the intervention produces hunger, shame, social isolation or unsustainable restriction. A third may receive excellent nutritional advice but be unable to follow it because of shift work, emotional overload or lack of cooking skills. In all these cases, the limiting factor is not knowledge alone; it is the architecture of daily life.

Metabolic well-being therefore requires an ecology of care: the alignment of food, movement, sleep, stress regulation, social support, environmental design and personal meaning. In this ecology, the body is not treated as an object to be controlled but as a living system to be cared for. This shift does not weaken scientific medicine; it deepens its capacity to reach the daily realities where metabolic regulation is built or eroded.

**Table 1:** Metabolic well-being as an integrative clinical construct.

| Component | Conventional focus | Expanded metabolic well-being focus |
|---|---|---|
| Glycaemia | Fasting glucose and HbA1c | Insulin sensitivity, glycaemic variability, meal timing, muscle glucose uptake and adherence |
| Adiposity | Body mass index | Visceral fat, waist circumference, adipose tissue inflammation, weight stigma and body composition |
| Nutrition | Calories and macronutrients | Dietary pattern quality, food processing, fibre, protein adequacy, satiety and cultural feasibility |
| Physical activity | Exercise prescription | Movement culture, strength, reduction of sedentary time and identity-based adherence |
| Sleep | Hours slept | Circadian alignment, sleep quality, sleep apnoea risk, light exposure and late eating |
| Stress | General advice to relax | Cortisol biology, emotional eating, self-regulation skills and compassion-based responsibility |
| Adherence | Patient compliance | Habit design, social support, feedback, meaning and long-term identity transformation |

## Neuroendocrine regulation: metabolism as a systemic dialogue

Metabolism is regulated by a complex neuroendocrine network. Insulin coordinates glucose uptake, storage and suppression of hepatic glucose production. Glucagon participates in glucose mobilisation. Leptin signals energy stores and influences appetite regulation. Ghrelin contributes to hunger signalling. Cortisol mobilises energy in response to stress. Thyroid hormones influence basal metabolic rate. Incretin hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 participate in insulin secretion, appetite regulation and gastric emptying. Adipokines and inflammatory mediators connect adipose tissue with vascular, hepatic and immune function [18-30].

Insulin resistance is a central node in this network. It cannot be understood only as elevated glucose. It involves impaired insulin action in skeletal muscle, liver and adipose tissue; ectopic fat deposition; mitochondrial function; inflammatory signalling; hepatic glucose production; lipotoxicity; and genetic susceptibility [18-23]. This complexity explains why single-factor solutions rarely suffice. Effective prevention requires simultaneous attention to nutrition, movement, sleep, stress and body composition.

Adipose tissue is particularly important. Once considered passive energy storage, it is now recognised as an endocrine organ capable of secreting adipokines and inflammatory mediators. Visceral adiposity is strongly associated with cardiometabolic risk because it contributes to systemic inflammation, dyslipidaemia, insulin resistance and vascular dysfunction [20-22]. For this reason, waist circumference and body composition often provide clinically relevant information beyond body mass index alone.

Skeletal muscle is another decisive organ. Muscle is the largest site of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal and a source of myokines that influence metabolism, inflammation and whole-body health [38-41]. Loss of muscle mass, low strength and sedentary behaviour can undermine metabolic resilience even when weight does not appear severely abnormal. This supports the clinical importance of resistance training, aerobic activity and interruption of prolonged sitting.

The recent development of incretin-based therapies has transformed obesity and diabetes treatment, demonstrating the therapeutic power of targeting appetite, insulin secretion and weight regulation pathways [27-30]. However, pharmacological innovation should not be framed as an alternative to behavioural health. The most promising clinical future is integrative: medication when indicated, combined with nutrition, muscle health, sleep optimisation, stress regulation and adherence systems. Drugs can modify biological pathways; habits shape the daily environment in which those pathways operate.

## Nutritional quality beyond dieting

Nutrition is the most visible element of metabolic health, but it is often presented in an emotionally and scientifically impoverished way. Many public messages reduce nutrition to restriction, guilt, prohibition or temporary dieting. This approach may produce short-term compliance but often fails to generate durable metabolic change. Evidence increasingly favours dietary quality, dietary patterns, sustainability and adherence rather than rigid short-term

restriction [31-37].

Mediterranean-style dietary patterns, characterised by vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, nuts, olive oil, fish and minimally processed foods, have been associated with cardiometabolic benefits [31-33]. Conversely, diets high in ultra-processed foods are associated with excess energy intake, poorer satiety regulation and increased cardiometabolic risk [34-36]. These findings suggest that nutrition should not be reduced to isolated nutrients. Food is a matrix of biological signals that influences appetite, glycaemia, lipids, gut microbiota, inflammation and the emotional experience of eating.

Protein adequacy is particularly relevant for metabolic well-being because it supports satiety and preservation of lean mass, especially when weight loss is pursued. Fibre intake contributes to satiety, glycaemic control, lipid metabolism and gut microbial diversity. Dietary patterns rich in plant foods may also support lower inflammatory tone and improved cardiometabolic markers. However, nutritional recommendations must be adapted to medical conditions, age, renal function, cultural context, economic access and personal preferences.

A central problem in nutritional care is the gap between prescription and real life. A patient may receive an optimal diet plan but lack time to cook, money to buy recommended foods, emotional stability to avoid binge episodes or family support to sustain the change. For this reason, metabolic nutrition must include practical skills: meal planning, shopping strategies, cooking literacy, identification of hunger and satiety, management of social eating, reduction of ultra-processed foods and compassionate management of lapses.

Nutritional education should also distinguish between hunger, appetite, reward seeking and emotional regulation. A person may eat because of physiological hunger, but also because of anxiety, fatigue, loneliness, frustration, boredom or celebration. If nutrition plans ignore these functions, they may treat symptoms while leaving triggers intact. This is why metabolic well-being requires nutritional science and emotional literacy together.

## Chronobiology, sleep and metabolic regulation

Sleep is a metabolic intervention. Insufficient or poor-quality sleep is associated with impaired glucose regulation, appetite dysregulation, insulin resistance, weight gain, hypertension and increased cardiometabolic risk [42-47]. Sleep restriction can influence leptin, ghrelin, hunger, food reward and dietary choices. In practical terms, a patient who sleeps poorly may experience stronger hunger, lower impulse control, reduced motivation to exercise and greater preference for energy-dense foods.

Circadian biology adds another level of complexity. Human metabolism follows rhythms. Glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, appetite, cortisol secretion, melatonin release and energy expenditure vary across the day. Circadian misalignment, common in shift work, social jet lag, irregular schedules, late-night screen exposure and late eating patterns, can impair metabolic regulation [45-49]. The question is therefore not only what people eat, but also when their behavioural rhythms align or conflict with biological timing.

Chrononutrition has become a clinically relevant research area. Early time-restricted feeding has shown favourable effects on insulin sensitivity in some studies, while other trials have found more modest or heterogeneous results [48-51]. The implication is not that one schedule fits all, but that meal timing deserves clinical attention. Eating most energy late at night may be metabolically different from distributing intake earlier, particularly in individuals with insulin resistance, sleep disruption or shift work.

Sleep and circadian interventions should be included in metabolic prevention. These may involve regular sleep and wake times, morning light exposure, reduced evening light exposure, consistent meal timing, screening for obstructive sleep apnoea, avoidance of late heavy meals when appropriate, and clinical adjustment for shift workers. Such recommendations must be individualised, especially in patients with diabetes using insulin or insulin secretagogues, pregnant women, frail older adults or individuals with eating disorders.

The broader point is that metabolism does not occur in an abstract laboratory; it occurs in time. When daily rhythms are chaotic,

**Table 2:** Selected endocrine-metabolic pathways relevant to prevention.

| Pathway | Metabolic relevance | Behavioural interface |
|---|---|---|
| Insulin signalling | Glucose uptake, hepatic glucose production, fat storage and insulin resistance | Diet quality, physical activity, muscle mass, weight change and sleep |
| Adipose tissue signalling | Adipokines, inflammation, visceral adiposity and cardiometabolic risk | Energy balance, ultra-processed food exposure, stress and movement |
| Skeletal muscle myokines | Glucose disposal, insulin sensitivity, inflammation and functional reserve | Resistance training, aerobic exercise and reduced sedentary time |
| Incretin system | Insulin secretion, appetite regulation, satiety and weight management | Meal composition, pharmacotherapy when indicated and long-term adherence |
| HPA axis | Cortisol, stress adaptation, appetite and abdominal adiposity | Stress regulation, sleep, emotional literacy and social support |
| Circadian clock | Glucose tolerance, appetite rhythm, hormonal timing and energy metabolism | Sleep regularity, meal timing, light exposure and shift-work adaptation |
| Gut microbiome | Inflammatory tone, microbial metabolites, satiety and metabolic signalling | Fibre intake, plant diversity, fermented foods and reduced ultra-processed foods |

endocrine regulation must work against the environment. Restoring rhythm is therefore not a luxury. It is a biological strategy.

## Stress biology, cortisol and emotional eating

Chronic stress is metabolic. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, sympathetic nervous system and reward pathways connect emotional states with appetite, glucose regulation, fat distribution, sleep and behaviour [52-57]. Cortisol is adaptive in acute stress because it mobilises energy. However, chronic or dysregulated stress exposure may contribute to abdominal adiposity, insulin resistance, hypertension, disrupted sleep and increased preference for highly palatable foods.

Emotional eating is often misinterpreted as lack of discipline. A more clinically useful view is that food may function as a learned strategy for emotional regulation. Some individuals eat not because they do not understand nutrition, but because food temporarily reduces anxiety, sadness, loneliness or exhaustion. When metabolic interventions ignore this function, they treat food as fuel but fail to recognise food as comfort, reward, memory, identity and self-protection.

Shame is metabolically counterproductive. Weight stigma and moralising messages can increase stress, reduce healthcare engagement and damage self-efficacy. A compassionate clinical approach does not eliminate responsibility; it makes responsibility possible. The patient is invited to participate actively in change without being reduced to a diagnosis, a number or a failure. This distinction is ethically and clinically essential.

Stress regulation strategies may include breathing practices, physical activity, mindfulness-based approaches, cognitive-behavioural tools, social support, nature exposure, expressive writing, creative activity and structured rest. These strategies should not be presented as substitutes for medical treatment, but as supports that improve the behavioural terrain in which metabolic decisions are made.

In metabolic prevention, emotional literacy should be considered a practical clinical skill. Patients benefit from learning to identify triggers, differentiate hunger from anxiety, recognise automatic eating patterns, design alternative responses and recover after lapses. The goal is not perfection. The goal is continuity.

## Physical activity, skeletal muscle and metabolic resilience

Physical activity improves insulin sensitivity, glucose regulation, body composition, blood pressure, lipid profile, cardiovascular fitness, mood and inflammatory markers [38-41]. It is one of the most effective non-pharmacological tools for metabolic health. However, its clinical value depends not only on physiological efficacy but also on sustainability.

Aerobic exercise supports cardiorespiratory fitness, energy expenditure and glycaemic control. Resistance training preserves and increases skeletal muscle, improves strength, supports glucose disposal and protects functional capacity. Interruption of prolonged sitting is also relevant because sedentary time has independent associations with cardiometabolic risk [39-41]. Thus, the metabolic prescription should include movement across the day, not only formal exercise sessions.

International guidance recommends that adults perform 150-300 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity per week or 75-150 minutes of vigorous-intensity activity, together with muscle-strengthening activities on at least two days per week [39]. Yet many patients do not meet these targets. The obstacle is rarely ignorance alone. Barriers include fatigue, pain, low self-efficacy, lack of time, unsafe environments, social embarrassment, previous negative experiences and the belief that exercise must be intense to be worthwhile.

A metabolic well-being approach should therefore move from exercise prescription to movement culture. Walking after meals, using stairs, active commuting, brief movement breaks, progressive strength routines, dance, swimming, cycling, supervised exercise and group-based activity can all contribute. The best physical activity is not only the one with the strongest theoretical effect; it is the one the person can repeat without losing dignity, motivation or safety.

The concept of muscle as metabolic capital may be clinically powerful. Patients often understand weight loss, but they may not understand the protective role of muscle. Explaining muscle as a glucose sink, endocrine organ and reserve of autonomy may improve motivation for resistance training, particularly in ageing populations and individuals with prediabetes or type 2 diabetes.

## Gut microbiome and metabolic signalling

The gut microbiome participates in energy harvest, immune modulation, gut barrier function, inflammatory tone and metabolic signalling [58-62]. Although microbiome science is still evolving and sometimes over-commercialised, its relevance to nutrition and metabolism is increasingly recognised. Diet shapes microbial ecology, and microbial metabolites may influence host metabolism, appetite, inflammation and insulin sensitivity.

High-fibre dietary patterns, plant diversity and certain fermented foods may support microbial diversity and production of short-chain fatty acids. Ultra-processed dietary patterns may negatively affect satiety, dietary quality and metabolic health through multiple pathways, including but not limited to microbiome effects [34-36,58-62].

Clinical caution is necessary. Not every probiotic or microbiome claim is supported by strong evidence. The microbiome should not become a new reductionist explanation replacing old ones. Nevertheless, it reinforces a key idea: food is not merely fuel. It is biological information that interacts with microbial, immune, endocrine and neural systems.

From a practical perspective, metabolic nutrition should include gut-supportive behaviours: sufficient fibre, legumes, vegetables, whole grains, fruits, nuts, seeds, fermented foods when appropriate and a

reduction in ultra-processed products. These recommendations are consistent with broader cardiometabolic prevention and are less speculative than many commercial microbiome interventions.

## Adherence as a metabolic variable

One of the most underestimated variables in metabolic medicine is adherence. The best intervention is ineffective if it cannot be sustained. Behavioural science shows that information alone rarely produces durable change. People need self-efficacy, feedback, social support, environmental design, emotional regulation, identity alignment and repetition [63-69].

The language of compliance may be insufficient because it implies that the clinician gives orders and the patient obeys. A more contemporary approach is co-designed adherence. The patient and professional collaborate to translate medical goals into feasible behaviours. This requires curiosity about the patient's real life: work schedule, family meals, sleep, stress, food access, economic limits, previous failures, emotional triggers and sources of meaning.

A habit-based approach includes awareness, design, repetition, feedback and identity. Awareness means identifying personal metabolic patterns and risk factors. Design means creating simple routines that reduce friction. Repetition means stabilising behaviours until they become easier. Feedback means using biomarkers and subjective experience to reinforce progress. Identity means moving from 'I am on a diet' to 'I am a person who cares for my metabolic health'.

This identity dimension is clinically important. Temporary interventions often produce temporary identities: patient, dieter, failure, disciplined person, person trying again. Sustainable change requires a more dignified and stable self-narrative. The individual is not merely losing weight or lowering glucose; he or she is building a life that protects the body, energy, autonomy and future.

Adherence should therefore be treated as an outcome, not as an assumption. Clinical programmes should measure attendance, retention, home practice, meal-planning continuity, physical activity consistency, sleep regularity and perceived feasibility. Without adherence data, metabolic outcomes are difficult to interpret. A programme may fail not because the biological target is wrong, but because the implementation architecture is weak.

## The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix

The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix proposed in this article is a six-dimensional framework designed to organise prevention, education and intervention. It does not replace diagnostic protocols or clinical guidelines. It provides a practical map for translating endocrine, metabolic and nutritional knowledge into sustainable behavioural systems.

The six dimensions are: nutritional quality; movement and skeletal muscle health; sleep and circadian alignment; stress and emotional regulation; social and environmental support; and meaning-based adherence. Each dimension interacts with the others. Nutrition affects sleep and gut function. Sleep influences appetite and insulin sensitivity. Stress alters eating and sleep. Movement improves glucose handling and mood. Social support affects adherence. Meaning sustains repetition.

The matrix is intentionally multidimensional because metabolic deterioration is rarely caused by a single behaviour. Many patients do not need more guilt or more information; they need a coherent map. The matrix can be used by clinicians, educators, corporate wellness teams and community health programmes to identify strengths, gaps and priorities.

For example, an individual with prediabetes may eat reasonably well but sleep five hours per night and have high perceived stress. Another may exercise intensely but compensate with ultra-processed food and late-night eating. Another may follow a nutrition plan but lacks social support at home. The matrix prevents the clinician from over-focusing on one visible behaviour while missing the wider system.

The model also promotes progressive intervention. Not every dimension must be changed at once. In fact, trying to change everything simultaneously may reduce adherence. A staged approach may begin with the highest-leverage and most feasible habit, then gradually incorporate additional dimensions. The clinical art is to select the next right step, not to overwhelm the patient with the ideal life.

## Arts-based and experiential learning as an adherence support

Arts-based health interventions have gained attention in relation to well-being, mental health, social connection and health promotion [70-75]. Their direct role in metabolic outcomes

**Table 3:** Habit-based translation of metabolic recommendations.

| Medical recommendation | Common failure point | Habit-based translation |
|---|---|---|
| Improve diet quality | Advice remains abstract or restrictive | Create a weekly shopping list, two default breakfasts, three simple dinners and an emergency healthy option |
| Increase physical activity | Patient imagines exercise as too intense or time-consuming | Start with 10-minute walks after meals and two weekly strength sessions adapted to capacity |
| Sleep better | Sleep is treated as secondary | Set regular wake time, evening routine, light management and screen boundaries |
| Reduce stress | Advice becomes vague | Identify top three stress triggers and rehearse specific alternative responses |
| Lose weight | Focus on scale only | Combine waist, strength, sleep, energy, food quality and metabolic biomarkers |
| Maintain change | Motivation fades | Use feedback, social support, identity language and relapse planning |

remains insufficiently studied, and strong clinical claims would be premature. Nevertheless, they may offer a promising pathway for improving adherence, self-awareness and emotional regulation in metabolic health education.

Metabolic change is not only informational; it is experiential. People may know what they should do but remain emotionally disconnected from the change. Artistic and experiential methods can help individuals explore their relationship with food, body, stress, shame, self-care and future health. Visual mapping, narrative writing, music, movement, theatre-based rehearsal and symbolic exercises may create a bridge between medical knowledge and embodied motivation.

For example, a patient can be invited to create a visual map of a typical day, identifying moments where fatigue, stress or social context lead to metabolic risk behaviours. Another can write a brief narrative about the body as an ally rather than an enemy. A group can use role-play to rehearse difficult social eating situations. A workplace programme can use collective art to visualise a culture of movement, rest and nutritional care. These methods may transform abstract advice into memorable experience.

The ethical requirement is scientific humility. Arts-based learning should be presented as a complementary educational and motivational tool, not as a treatment for diabetes, obesity or endocrine disease. Its value should be evaluated through rigorous studies measuring both behavioural adherence and biomedical outcomes. If tested properly, it may become an important ally in the humanisation of metabolic prevention.

This approach is especially relevant because many metabolic interventions are emotionally cold. They speak the language of risk but not the language of meaning. They measure the body but rarely listen to the person. A more human metabolic medicine can combine biomarkers with biography, prescription with participation and clinical rigour with dignity.

## Clinical implementation: from consultation to ecosystem

The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix can be translated into clinical and preventive practice through a structured process. The first step is assessment. Clinicians should identify not only laboratory and anthropometric markers, but also sleep patterns, physical activity, stress, emotional eating, food environment, social support and readiness for change. This does not require every consultation to become excessively long. Screening tools, pre-visit questionnaires and interdisciplinary care can distribute the workload.

The second step is prioritisation. Patients often receive too many recommendations at once. A matrix-based approach helps select the most feasible and metabolically relevant target. For one patient, the priority may be reducing sugary beverages. For another, it may be walking after dinner. For another, it may be sleep regularity or stress-related eating. Precision in prevention means matching the intervention to the person's real bottleneck.

The third step is habit design. A recommendation becomes a habit only when it is specific, repeated and embedded in context. 'Exercise more' becomes 'walk ten minutes after lunch from Monday to Friday'. 'Eat better' becomes 'prepare a protein- and fibre-rich breakfast before work'. 'Reduce stress' becomes 'three minutes of breathing before entering the kitchen after work'. Behavioural specificity reduces cognitive load and improves the probability of repetition.

The fourth step is feedback. Metabolic markers can be used not only for diagnosis but also for motivation. Improvements in HbA1c, waist circumference, blood pressure, energy, sleep or strength can reinforce adherence. Feedback should be interpreted carefully to avoid overemphasis on weight alone. Some individuals may improve fitness, waist circumference or glycaemic markers even when weight loss is modest.

The fifth step is relapse planning. Lapses are normal in behavioural change. A rigid model treats lapse as failure; a mature model treats it as information. Patients should be taught to recover quickly, identify triggers and resume the next habit without shame.

**Table 4:** The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix.

| Dimension | Biological target | Behavioural expression | Possible indicators |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nutritional quality | Glycaemia, lipids, inflammation, satiety and gut ecology | Minimally processed foods, fibre, protein adequacy, plant diversity and meal planning | HbA1c, fasting glucose, lipids, waist circumference, dietary quality score |
| Movement and muscle | Insulin sensitivity, muscle mass, energy expenditure and myokine signalling | Aerobic activity, resistance training, balance, reduced sedentary time | Strength, step count, cardiorespiratory fitness, body composition, HOMA-IR |
| Sleep and circadian alignment | Hormonal rhythm, appetite regulation and glucose tolerance | Sleep regularity, light exposure, meal timing and sleep apnoea screening | Sleep duration, sleep quality, chronotype, fasting glucose |
| Stress and emotional regulation | Cortisol, reward pathways, autonomic balance and emotional eating | Breathing, mindfulness, expressive writing, therapy referral when needed, social connection | Perceived stress, emotional eating scales, cortisol rhythm when appropriate |
| Social and environmental support | Adherence reinforcement and access to healthy choices | Family routines, workplace culture, food environment, community activity | Retention, social support scales, attendance and environmental audits |
| Meaning-based adherence | Motivation, identity and long-term continuity | Purpose, self-care narrative, habit rituals, experiential learning | Self-efficacy, well-being, adherence, relapse recovery |

This capacity for recovery may be one of the most important determinants of long-term metabolic success.

## Limitations

This article has limitations. It is a narrative review and conceptual framework, not a systematic review or meta-analysis. The selection of literature was integrative rather than exhaustive. Therefore, the paper should not be interpreted as providing graded evidence for each component of the model.

The strength of evidence differs across dimensions. Nutrition quality, physical activity, diabetes prevention and sleep have substantial empirical support. Stress regulation and behaviour change also have important evidence bases, although intervention effects vary. Arts-based and experiential learning in metabolic health is less directly evidenced and should be considered a promising complementary strategy rather than an established clinical treatment.

Another limitation is that the Metabolic Well-Being Matrix requires adaptation to different populations. Patients with type 1 diabetes, advanced type 2 diabetes, pregnancy, eating disorders, renal disease, endocrine disorders, severe obesity, frailty or major psychiatric conditions require specialised clinical judgement. The model is not a substitute for diagnosis, medication, nutritional therapy or medical supervision.

Finally, the article proposes a humanistic and integrative interpretation of metabolic care. This is a strength for implementation but may be viewed as less conventional in strictly biomedical journals. For this reason, future empirical studies are necessary to validate the framework and quantify its added value [76].

## Conclusion

Metabolic health cannot be reduced to calories, kilograms or isolated biomarkers. It is a dynamic expression of endocrine regulation, nutritional quality, muscle function, sleep, circadian rhythm, stress biology, emotional behaviour, social context and meaningful adherence. The future of endocrinology, metabolism and nutrition requires not only better drugs and better guidelines, but better systems for helping people live what science already knows.

The Metabolic Well-Being Matrix offers an integrative framework for preventing lifestyle-related metabolic dysfunction. It connects biology with behaviour, prescription with daily life and clinical indicators with human experience. Its value lies in addressing a frequent weakness of metabolic care: the gap between knowledge and sustained transformation.

The body does not change only because it receives advice. It changes when advice becomes habit. It improves more deeply when habit becomes care. And it becomes sustainable when care becomes part of identity. For metabolic medicine, this is not a poetic addition to science; it is a practical condition for long-term prevention.

**Table 5:** Proposed clinical implementation sequence.

| Phase | Clinical question | Practical output |
|---|---|---|
| Assessment | What is the patient's metabolic and behavioural profile? | Biomarkers, anthropometrics, sleep, activity, stress, eating patterns and support map |
| Prioritisation | Which change is most relevant and feasible now? | One or two high-leverage habit targets |
| Habit design | How will the recommendation become repeatable? | Specific action, context, frequency, cue and fallback plan |
| Feedback | How will progress be recognised? | Clinical markers plus subjective indicators such as energy, sleep and confidence |
| Relapse planning | What will happen when life disrupts the plan? | Recovery script, trigger analysis and next-step protocol |
| Maintenance | How will change become identity? | Self-care narrative, social reinforcement and periodic review |

**Table 6:** Suggested endpoints for future metabolic well-being trials.

| Domain | Primary or secondary measures | Rationale |
|---|---|---|
| Glucose metabolism | HbA1c, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, glycaemic variability | Captures insulin resistance and glycaemic regulation |
| Adiposity/body composition | Weight, waist circumference, fat mass, lean mass | Distinguishes weight change from metabolic and muscular adaptation |
| Cardiovascular risk | Blood pressure, lipid profile, hs-CRP | Links metabolic well-being to cardiometabolic prevention |
| Sleep/circadian health | Sleep duration, sleep quality, sleep regularity, chronotype | Tests the metabolic relevance of rhythm and recovery |
| Stress/emotion | Perceived stress, emotional eating, self-compassion, depression/anxiety screening | Evaluates emotional drivers of metabolic behavior |
| Adherence | Attendance, retention, home practice, physical activity logs, dietary adherence | Treats behavioural sustainability as an outcome |
| Meaning/well-being | Self-efficacy, purpose, well-being, qualitative interviews | Assesses identity-based and experiential mechanisms |

## References


1. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. WHO Fact Sheet. 2025.
2. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in underweight and obesity from 1990 to 2022: a pooled analysis of population-representative studies. Lancet. 2024; 403: 1027-1050.
3. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. Standards of Care in Diabetes-2026. Diabetes Care. 2026; 49.
4. Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, Bessesen DH, et al. Pharmacological management of obesity: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 100: 342-362.
5. Garvey WT, Mechanick JI, Brett EM, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology comprehensive clinical practice guidelines for medical care of patients with obesity. Endocr Pract. 2016; 22: 1-203.
6. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. Circulation. 2014; 129: 102-138.
7. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346: 393-403.
8. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344: 1343-1350.
9. Lindstrom J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Peltonen M, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Lancet. 2006; 368: 1673-1679.
10. Lean MEJ, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, et al. Primary care-led weight management for remission of type 2 diabetes: an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. Lancet. 2018; 391: 541-551.
11. Look AHEAD Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369: 145-154.
12. Gregg EW, Chen H, Wagenknecht LE, et al. Association of an intensive lifestyle intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. JAMA. 2012; 308: 2489-2496.
13. Rubino F, Puhl RM, Cummings DE, et al. Joint international consensus statement for ending stigma of obesity. Nature Medicine. 2020; 26: 485-497.
14. Mechanick JI, Hurley DL, Garvey WT. Adiposity-based chronic disease as a new diagnostic term : THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS AND AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOLOGY POSITION STATEMENT. Endocr Pract. 2017; 23: 372-378.
15. Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature. 2006; 444: 840-846.
16. Samuel VT, Shulman GI. Mechanisms for insulin resistance: common threads and missing links. Cell. 2012; 148: 852-871.
17. Petersen MC, Shulman GI. Mechanisms of insulin action and insulin resistance. Physiol Rev. 2018; 98: 2133-2223.
18. Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature. 2006; 444: 860-867.
19. Saltiel AR, Olefsky JM. Inflammatory mechanisms linking obesity and metabolic disease. J Clin Invest. 2017; 127: 1-4.
20. Despres JP. Body fat distribution and risk of cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2012; 126: 1301-1313.
21. Bluher M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2019; 15: 288-298.
22. Schwartz MW, Seeley RJ, Zeltser LM, et al. Obesity pathogenesis: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. Endocrine Reviews. 2017; 38: 267-296.
23. Drucker DJ. The biology of incretin hormones. Journal cell metabolism. 2006; 3: 153-165.
24. Drucker DJ. Mechanisms of action and therapeutic application of glucagon-like peptide-1. Cell Metab. 2018; 27: 740-756.
25. Nauck MA, Meier JJ. Incretin hormones: their role in health and disease. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism. 2018; 20: 5-21.
26. Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. N Engl J Med. 2021; 384: 989-1002.
27. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. N Engl J Med. 2022; 387: 205-216.
28. Davies MJ, Aroda VR, Collins BS, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2022: a consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetologia. 2022; 65: 1925-1966.
29. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. N Engl J Med. 2018; 378: 34.
30. Sofi F, Cesari F, Abbate R, et al. Adherence to Mediterranean diet and health status: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2008; 337: 1344.
31. Shai I, Schwarzfuchs D, Henkin Y, et al. Weight loss with a low-carbohydrate, Mediterranean, or low-fat diet. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359: 229-241.
32. Hall KD, Ayuketah A, Brychta R, et al. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of Ad Libitum Food Intake. Cell Metab. 2019; 30: 67-77.
33. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Lawrence M, et al. Ultra-processed foods, diet quality, and health using the NOVA classification system. Public Health Nutrition. 2019; 22: 936-941.

34. Srour B, Fezeu LK, Kesse-Guyot E, et al. Ultra-processed food intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study (NutriNet-Santé). BMJ. 2019; 365: 1451.
35. Willett W, Rockstrom J, Loken B, et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet. 2019; 393: 447-492.
36. Gardner CD, Trepanowski JF, Del Gobbo LC, et al. Effect of low-fat versus low-carbohydrate diet on 12-month weight loss in overweight adults: the DIETFITS randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2018; 319: 667-679.
37. Sacks FM, Bray GA, Carey VJ, et al. Comparison of weight-loss diets with different compositions of fat, protein, and carbohydrates. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 859-873.
38. Pedersen BK, Febbraio MA. Muscles, exercise and obesity: skeletal muscle as a secretory organ. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2012; 8: 457-465.
39. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020; 54: 1451-1462.
40. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, et al. Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2016; 39: 2065-2079.
41. Umpierre D, Ribeiro PAB, Kramer CK, et al. Physical activity advice only or structured exercise training and HbA1c levels in type 2 diabetes. JAMA. 2011; 305: 1790-1799.
42. Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, et al. Does physical activity attenuate the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. Lancet. 2016; 388: 1302-1310.
43. St-Onge MP, Grandner MA, Brown D, et al. Sleep duration and quality: impact on lifestyle behaviors and cardiometabolic health. Circulation. 2016; 134: 367-386.
44. Spiegel K, Tasali E, Penev P, et al. Brief communication: sleep curtailment in healthy young men is associated with decreased leptin, elevated ghrelin and increased hunger and appetite. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2004; 141: 846-850.
45. Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, et al. Quantity and quality of sleep and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33: 414-420.
46. Scheer FAJL, Hilton MF, Mantzoros CS, et al. Adverse metabolic and cardiovascular consequences of circadian misalignment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106: 4453-4458.
47. Morris CJ, Yang JN, Garcia JI, et al. Endogenous circadian system and circadian misalignment impact glucose tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112: 2225-2234.
48. McHill AW, Wright KP. Role of sleep and circadian disruption on energy expenditure and in metabolic predisposition to human obesity and metabolic disease. Obesity Reviews. 2017; 18: 15-24.
49. Sutton EF, Beyl R, Early KS, et al. Early time-restricted feeding improves insulin sensitivity, blood pressure, and oxidative stress even without weight loss in Men with Prediabetes. Cell Metab. 2018; 27: 1212-1221.
50. Lowe DA, Wu N, Rohdin-Bibby L, et al. Effect of time-restricted eating on weight loss and metabolic health in patients with overweight and obesity: the TREAT randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2020; 180: 1491-1499.
51. Manoogian ENC, Panda S. Circadian rhythms, time-restricted feeding, and healthy aging. Ageing Res Rev. 2017; 39: 59-67.
52. McEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. N Engl J Med. 1998; 338: 171-179.
53. Chrousos GP. Stress and disorders of the stress system. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2009; 5: 374-381.
54. Adam TC, Epel ES. Stress, eating and the reward system. Physiol Behav. 2007; 91: 449-458.
55. Hewagalamulage SD, Lee TK, Clarke IJ, et al. Stress, cortisol, and obesity: a role for cortisol responsiveness in identifying individuals prone to obesity. Obesity Reviews. 2016; 17: 1261-1275.
56. Golden SH. A review of the evidence for a neuroendocrine link between stress, depression and diabetes mellitus. Curr Diabetes Rev. 2007; 3: 252-259.
57. Tomiyama AJ. Stress and obesity. Annual Review of Psychology. 2019; 70: 703-718.
58. Backhed F, Ding H, Wang T, et al. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101: 15718-15723.
59. Cani PD. Human gut microbiome: hopes, threats and promises. Gut. 2018; 67: 1716-1725.
60. Sonnenburg JL, Backhed F. Diet-microbiota interactions as moderators of human metabolism. Nature. 2016; 535: 56-64.
61. Valdes AM, Walter J, Segal E, et al. Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. BMJ. 2018; 361: 2179.
62. Vrieze A, Van Nood E, Holleman F, et al. Transfer of intestinal microbiota from lean donors increases insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome. Gastroenterology. 2012; 143: 913-916.
63. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychol Rev. 1977; 84: 191-215.
64. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. Am J Health Promot. 1997; 12: 38-48.
65. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development and well-being. American Psychologist. 2000; 55: 68-78.
66. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. Implement Sci. 2011; 6: 42.
67. Wood W, Neal DT. A new look at habits and the habit-goal interface. Psychological Review. 2007; 114: 843-863.

68. Lally P, van Jaarsveld CHM, Potts HWW, et al. How are habits formed: modelling habit formation in the real world. European Journal of Social Psychology. 2010; 40: 998-1009.
69. Volpp KG, Asch DA, Galvin R, et al. Redesigning employee health incentives: lessons from behavioural economics. N Engl J Med. 2011; 365: 388-390.
70. Marmot M, Bloomer E, Goldblatt P, et al. WHO European review of social determinants of health and the health divide. Lancet. 2012; 380: 1011-1029.
71. Fancourt D, Finn S. What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well-being? WHO Health Evidence Network Synthesis Report 67. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe. 2019.
72. Stuckey HL, Nobel J. The connection between art, healing, and public health: a review of current literature. Am J Public Health. 2010; 100: 254-263.
73. Clift S, Camic PM, editors. Oxford Textbook of Creative Arts, Health, and Wellbeing. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2016.
74. Sonke J, Golden T, Francois S, et al. Creating healthy communities through cross-sector collaboration. Arts & Health. 2019; 11: 204-220.
75. Fancourt D, Steptoe A. Effects of creativity on social and behavioural health. Perspectives in Public Health. 2019; 139: 132-134.
76. World Health Organization. Global action plan on physical activity 2018-2030: more active people for a healthier world. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2018.